BEACON PLANNING BOARD ONE MUNICIPAL PLAZA - SUITE 1 BEACON, NEW YORK 12508

Phone (845) 838-5002 Fax (845) 838-5026 John Gunn, Chairman

October 15, 2018

Mayor Casale & City Council Members One Municipal Plaza - Suite One Beacon, New York 12508

RE:

Amend Special Use Permit – Roundhouse

2 East Main Street

Applicant:

10 Boulevard, LLC

Dear Mayor Casale & Council Members:

At its August 14, 2018, September 11, 2018 and October 10, 2018 meetings, the Planning Board reviewed an application to amend the existing Special Use Permit for the project known as "The Roundhouse" on East Main Street. The applicant is proposing to amend its Site Plan and Special Use Permit by replacing the spa with 10 additional hotel rooms in the Mill Building and replacing a private dining room in the Roundhouse Building with a hotel administration office. The parcel is located in the GB and CMS zoning districts and the Historic District and Landmark Overlay Zone. The 10 additional hotel rooms in the Mill Building require an amendment of the existing Special Permit. In 2010 the approved Special Permit allowed a total of 58 hotel rooms. In 2013 an Amended Special Permit was approved reducing the number of hotel rooms to 41. The Applicant now seeks a further amendment to the Special Permit to increase the number of hotel rooms to 51 by eliminating the spa and converting that space to hotel rooms. After careful review, Board members voted unanimously to recommend the City Council issue a Special Use Permit subject to the applicant returning to the Planning Board for Site Plan Approval. A more detailed description and discussion of the project can be read in the Planning Board's attachment to its SEQRA Negative Declaration.

A copy of the application, EAF, Negative Declaration and Plans are attached for your information. If you have any questions regarding the Planning Board's action, please call me.

Yours truly, Randoll Williams

Randall Williams, Acting Chairman

APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL USE PERMIT

Submit to Planning Board Secretary, One Municipal Plaza, Suite One, Beacon, New York 12508

IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	(For Official Use Only)	Date Initials	
Name:	Application & Fee Rec'd Initial Review	2-27-18	
Address: 217 Main Street	PB Public Hearing	Tropical Control	
Beacon, NY 12508	Sent to City Council		
Signature: Laht G.Me C	City Council Workshop		
Date: February 27, 2018	City Council Public Hearing		
Phone: 845-765-0061	City Council Approve/Disapprove		
IDENTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIVE / DESIG	ON PRFESSIONAL		
Name: Aryeh Siegel Architect	Phone: 845-838-2490		
Address: 84 Mason Circle	Fax:845-838-2657		
Beacon, NY 12508	Email address: ajs@ajsarch.com		
IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:			
Property Address: 2 East Main Street			
Tax Map Designation: Section_6054	Block 30 Lot(s)	171812	
Land Area: 8.943 Acres	Zoning District(s) CB, GB, LB, HI		
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:			
Proposed Use: Hotel, Catering Event Space, Artist Live Work, Resta	urant		
Gross Non-Residential Floor Space: Existing 58,494	Proposed 0		
TOTAL: 58,494			
Dwelling Units (by type): Existing ⁷	Proposed 0		
TOTAL: 7	****		

ITEMS TO ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION

- a. Eight (8) **folded** copies of a site location sketch showing the location of the subject property and the proposed development with respect to neighboring properties and developments.
- b. Eight (8) folded copies of the proposed site development plan, consisting of sheets, showing the required information as set forth on the back of this form and other such information as deemed necessary by the City Council or the Planning Board to determine and provide for the property enforcement of the Zoning Ordinance.
- c. Eight (8) folded copies of any additional sketches, renderings or other information submitted.
- d. An application fee, payable to the City of Beacon, computed per the attached fee schedule.
- e. An initial escrow amount, payable to the City of Beacon, as set forth in the attached fee schedule.

APPLICATION FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL

Submit to Planning Board Secretary, One Municipal Plaza, Suite One, Beacon, New York 12508

IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	(For Official Use Only)	Date Initials
Name: 10 Boulevard, LLC	Application & Fee Rec'd Initial Review	3-13-18 3-13-18
Address: 217 Main Street	Public Hearing	
Beacon, NY 12508 Signature:	Conditional Approval Final Approval	
IDENTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIVE / DESIGNATION OF REPRESENTATION OF REPR	GN PRFESSIONAL	
Name: Aryeh Siegel Architect	Phone: 845-838-2490	
Address: 84 Mason Circle	Fax: 845-838-2657	
Beacon NY 12508	Email address: ajs@ajsarch.com	
IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: Property Address: 2 East Main Street		
Tax Map Designation: Section 6054	Block 30 Lot(s)	171812
Land Area: 8.943 Acres	Zoning District(s) CB, GB, LB, H	
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Proposed Use: Hotel, Catering Event Space, Artist L	ive Work, Restaurant	
Gross Non-Residential Floor Space: Existing 58,494 TOTAL: 58,494	Proposed 0	
Dwelling Units (by type): Existing 7 TOTAL: 7	Proposed 0	

ITEMS TO ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION

- a. One electronic and five (5) folded paper copies of a site location sketch showing the location of the subject property and the proposed development with respect to neighboring properties and developments.
- b. One electronic and five (5) folded paper copies of the proposed site development plan, consisting of sheets, showing the required information as set forth on the back of this form and other such information as deemed necessary by the City Council or the Planning Board to determine and provide for the property enforcement of the Zoning Ordinance.
- c. One electronic and five (5) folded paper copies of additional sketches, renderings or other information.
- d. An application fee, payable to the City of Beacon, computed per the attached fee schedule.
- e. An initial escrow amount, payable to the City of Beacon, as set forth in the attached fee schedule.

INFORMATION TO BE SHOWN ON SITE LOCATION SKETCH

- a. Property lines, zoning district boundaries and special district boundaries affecting all adjoining streets and properties, including properties located on the opposite sides of adjoining streets.
- b. Any reservations, easements or other areas of public or special use which affect the subject property.
- c. Section, block and lot numbers written on the subject property and all adjoining properties, including the names of the record owners of such adjoining properties.

INFORMATION TO BE SHOWN ON THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

- a. Title of development, date and revision dates if any, north point, scale, name and address of record owner of property, and of the licensed engineer, architect, landscape architect, or surveyor preparing the site plan.
- b. Existing and proposed contours at a maximum vertical interval of two (2) feet.
- c. Location and identification of natural features including rock outcrops, wooded areas, single trees with a caliper of six (6) or more inches measured four (4) feet above existing grade, water bodies, water courses, wetlands, soil types, etc.
- d. Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed buildings, retaining walls, fences, septic fields, etc.
- e. Finished floor level elevations and heights of all existing and proposed buildings.
- f. Location, design, elevations, and pavement and curbing specifications, including pavement markings, of all existing and proposed sidewalks, and parking and truck loading areas, including access and egress drives thereto.
- g. Existing pavement and elevations of abutting streets, and proposed modifications.
- h. Location, type and design of all existing and proposed storm drainage facilities, including computation of present and estimated future runoff of the entire tributary watershed, at a maximum density permitted under existing zoning, based on a 100 year storm.
- i. Location and design of all existing and proposed water supply and sewage disposal facilities.
- j. Location of all existing and proposed power and telephone lines and equipment, including that located within the adjoining street right-of-way. All such lines and equipment must be installed underground.
- k. Estimate of earth work, including type and quantities of material to be imported to or removed from the site.
- l. Detailed landscape plan, including the type, size, and location of materials to be used.
- m. Location, size, type, power, direction, shielding, and hours of operation of all existing and proposed lighting facilities.
- n. Location, size, type, and design of all existing and proposed business and directional signs.
- o. Written dimensions shall be used wherever possible.
- p. Signature and seal of licensed professional preparing the plan shall appear on each sheet.
- q. Statement of approval, in blank, as follows:

Approved by Resolution of the Beacon Plann	ing Board
on the day of	, 20
subject to all conditions as stated therein	
Chairman, City Planning Board	Date
Cuan man, City Francing Board	Date

APPLICATION PROCESSING RESTRICTION LAW Affidavit of Property Owner

Property Owner: 10 Boulevard, LLC
If owned by a corporation, partnership or organization, please list names of persons holding over 5% interest. Robert McAlpine
List all properties in the City of Beacon that you hold a 5% interest in:
Applicant Address: 217 Main Street, Beacon, NY 12508
Project Address: 2 East Main Street
Project Tax Grid #_6054-30-171812
Type of Application Site Plan / Special Use Permit Amendment
Please note that the property owner is the applicant. "Applicant" is defined as any individual who owns at least five percent (5%) interest in a corporation or partnership or other business.
Robert McAlpine I,, the undersigned owner of the above referenced property,
hereby affirm that I have reviewed my records and verify that the following information is true.
1. No violations are pending for ANY parcel owned by me situated within the City of Beacon
2. Violations are pending on a parcel or parcels owned by me situated within the City of Beacon
3. ALL tax payments due to the City of Beacon are current
4. Tax delinquencies exist on a parcel or parcels owned by me within the City of Beacon
5. Special Assessments are outstanding on a parcel or parcels owned by me in the City of Beacon
6. ALL Special Assessments due to the City of Beacon on any parcel owned by me are current Cht C. Mc Signature of Owner
Title if owner is corporation
Office Use Only: Applicant has violations pending for ANY parcel owned within the City of Beacon (Building Dept.)
ALL taxes are current for properties in the City of Beacon are current (Tax Dept.) ALL Special Assessments, i.e. water, sewer, fines, etc. are current (Water Billing)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Application #

CITY OF BEACON

1 Municipal Plaza, Beacon, NY Telephone (845) 838-5000 http://cityofbeacon.org/

ENTITY DISCLOSURE FORM

(This form must accompany every land use application and every application for a building permit or certificate of occupancy submitted by any entity)

Disclosure of the names and addresses of all persons or entities owning any interest or controlling position of any Limited Liability Company, Partnership, Limited Partnership, Joint Venture, Corporation or other business entity (hereinafter referred to as the "Entity") filing a land-use application with the City is required pursuant to Section 223-62 of the City Code of the City of Beacon. If any Member of the Entity is not a natural person, then the names and addresses as well as all other information sought herein must be supplied about the non-natural person member of that Entity, including names, addresses and Formation filing documents. Applicants shall submit supplemental sheets for any additional information that does not fit within the below sections, identifying the Section being supplemented.

SECTION A.

IF AFFIANT IS A PARTNERSHIP, JOIN VENTURE OR OTHER BUSINESS ENTITY, EXCEPT A CORPORATION:

Name of Entity	Address of Entity		
Name of Entity	Addless of Endty		
10 Blvd. LLC	36 Slocum Rd. Beacon, NY		
Place where such business entity was	Official Registrar's or Clerk's office where the		
created	documents and papers creating entity were filed		
Cleated	documents and papers creating entity were filed		
O I ND	NINO D		
Greenlawn, NY	NYS Dept of State		
38	Albany NY		
Date such business entity or partnership	Telephone Contact Information		
was created			
	631 796 4203		
7/30/01	VO 2 17 0 140 0		
7/30/01			

IF AFFIANT IS A CORPORATION:

Name of Entity	Telephone Contact Information		
Principal Place of Business of Entity	Place and date of incorporation		

Method of Incorporation	Official place where the documents and papers of incorporation were filed
-------------------------	---

SECTION B. List all persons, officers, limited or general partners, directors, members, shareholders, managers, and any others with any interest in or with the above referenced Entity. List all persons to whom corporate stock has been pledged, mortgaged or encumbered and with whom any agreement has been made to pledge, mortgage or encumber said stock. Use a supplemental sheet to list additional persons.

Name	Resident Address	Resident Telephone Number	Nature and Extent
Robert A Mc Alpine	36 Slocum Rd Beacon, NY	765 0199	Sole member
- Ask oppose			
e			
			M. Ceranon

SECTION C. List all owners of record of the subject property or any part thereof.

Name	Residence or Business Address	Telephone Number	Date and Manner title was acquired	Date and place where the deed or document of conveyance was recorded or filed.
Sama as B				
		-		
	(i			-
		-		

SECTION D. Is any owner, of record or otherwise, an officer, director, stockholder, agent or

employee of any person listed in Section B-C?

YES x NO				
Name)X	Employer	Position	
				_
	п при		NI-11-	
141				

of the City of Beacon board member, zoning YES x If yes, list every Beacon with which a	n or related, by ng board of ap cry Board, De party has a po	y marriage or of opeals member NO partment, Office	A- C an officer, elected or therwise, to a City Council or employee of the City of the ce, agency or other position or paid, or relationship and	member, planning Beacon?
title, and date of hire				
Agency	Title		Date of Hire, Date Elected, or Date Appointed	Position or Nature of Relationship
				8
			2	
years preceding the d			tions A-D known by any o	ther name within five (5)
Current Name			Other Names	
				-
				V
		1	=	
	-			-

SECTION G. List the names and addresses of each person, business entity, partnership and corporation in the chain of title of the subject premises for the five (5) years next preceding the date of the application.

Name	Address	
Same as B		

SECTION H. If the applicant is a contract vendee, a duplicate original or photocopy of the full and complete contract of purchase, including all riders, modification and amendments thereto, shall be submitted with the application.

SECTION I. Have the present owners entered into a contract for the sale of all or any part of the subject property and, if in the affirmative, please provide a duplicate original or photocopy of the fully and complete contract of sale, including all riders, modifications and amendments thereto.

YES	x	NO

I, ROBERT A. McALPASbeing first duly sworn, according to law, deposes and says that I am (Title) Suce Member and qualified member of the Scia. LLC., a business duly authorized by law to do business in the State of New York, and that the statements made herein are true, accurate, and complete.

(Print) ROSENT A. MCALPINE (Signature) Rolf C.M. C.J.

CITY OF BEACON SITE PLAN SPECIFICATION FORM

Name of Application: Roundhouse at Beacon Falls - Amendment

PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER THE SITE PLAN DRAWINGS SHOW THE SUBJECT INFORMATION BY PLACING A CHECK MARK IN THE APPROPRIATE BOXES BELOW.		
	YES	NO
The site plan shall be clearly marked "Site Plan", it shall be prepared by a legally certified	V	_
individual of firm, such as a Registered Architect or Professional Engineer, and it shall	V	
contain the following information:		
LEGAL DATA		
Name and address of the owner of record.		
Name and address of the applicant (if other than the owner).		
Name and address of person, firm or organization preparing the plan.	1	
Date, north arrow, and written and graphic scale.		
NATURAL FEATURES		
Existing contours with intervals of two (2) feet, referred to a datum satisfactory to the	1	П
Planning Board.		
Approximate boundaries of any areas subject to flooding or stormwater overflows.	V	Ш
Location of existing watercourses, wetlands, wooded areas, rock outcrops, isolated		
trees with a diameter of eight (8) inches or more measured three (3) feet above		Ш
the base of the trunk, and any other significant existing natural features.		
EXISTING STRUCTURES, UTILITIES, ETC.		
Outlines of all structures and the location of all uses not requiring structures.		
Paved areas, sidewalks, and vehicular access between the site and public streets.		
Locations, dimensions, grades, and flow direction of any existing sewers, culverts,		
water lines, as well as other underground and above ground utilities within and		Ш
adjacent to the property.		
Other existing development, including fences, retaining walls, landscaping, and screening.	V	
Sufficient description or information to define precisely the boundaries of the property.	1	
The owners of all adjoining lands as shown on the latest tax records.	1	
The locations, names, and existing widths of adjacent streets and curb lines.		\Box
Location, width, and purpose of all existing and proposed easements, setbacks,	F1	
reservations, and areas dedicated to private or public use within or adjacent to the		
properties.		

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	YES	NO
The location, use and design of proposed buildings or structural improvements.	1	
The location and design of all uses not requiring structures, such as outdoor storage		
(if permitted), and off-street parking and unloading areas.		ш
Any proposed division of buildings into units of separate occupancy.	✓	
The location, direction, power, and time of use for any proposed outdoor lighting.	V	
The location and plans for any outdoor signs.	V	
The location, arrangement, size(s) and materials of proposed means of ingress and	V	
egress, including sidewalks, driveways, or other paved areas.		Ш
Proposed screening and other landscaping including a planting plan and schedule	V	
prepared by a qualified individual or firm.	V .	ш
The location, sizes and connection of all proposed water lines, valves, and hydrants		\Box
and all storm drainage and sewer lines, culverts, drains, etc.	V.	Ш
Proposed easements, deed restrictions, or covenants and a notation of any areas to		
be dedicated to the City.		V
Any contemplated public improvements on or adjoining the property.		1
Any proposed new grades, indicating clearly how such grades will meet existing		
grades of adjacent properties or the street.	Y	Ш
Elevations of all proposed principal or accessory structures.	V	
Any proposed fences or retaining walls.	1	П
MISCELLANEOUS		
A location map showing the applicant's entire property and adjacent properties and		
streets, at a convenient scale.	V	
Erosion and sedimentation control measures.	\checkmark	
A schedule indicating how the proposal complies with all pertinent zoning standards,	./	
including parking and loading requirements.	<u></u>	ш
An indication of proposed hours of operation.	1	
If the site plan only indicates a first stage, a supplementary plan shall indicate		
ultimate development.		ш

Tens	MORKED	NU	LKR	NOT	Specan
350				,	
	Tax III was				
		-			A STATE OF THE STA
	00.10.1 5.1				/ CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
	<i>E</i>				341
	—ugis spiritory, mile		N-15-11		
					:
	THE PART OF THE PA				
11-					

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
Roundhouse at Beacon Falls		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
2 East Main Street		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):	T)	
Renovation of existing building to create the following uses: Hotel Restaurant Artist Live Work Residential Event Space Hydroelectric Powerhouse	Ð	,
2. Parking		
3. Landscaping		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 845-765-0061	
10 Boulevard LLC	E-Mail:	
Address: 217 Main Street	=	58
City/PO: Beacon	State: NY	Zip Code: 12508
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	
Same as Sponsor	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
Same as Sponsor	E-Mail:	
Address:		_
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code;

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals Funding, or Spons assistance.)	sorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	x relief, and any other	forms of financial	
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or p		
a. City Council, Town Board, ✓Yes□No or Village Board of Trustees	City Council - Special Use Permit	May 2010; February 2013; February 2018		
b. City, Town or Village ✓Yes□No Planning Board or Commission	Planning Board - Site Plan Approval, Subdivision Approval	February 2010, Februar	y 2018	
c. City Council, Town or ☐Yes ☑No Village Zoning Board of Appeals	Zoning Board - Variances	February 2010, February	y 2013	
d. Other local agencies ✓Yes□No	Building Permit	July 2010, June 2013, C	ctober 2018	
e. County agencies ☑Yes□No	County Department of Health	March 2010		
f. Regional agencies ☐Yes☑No				
g. State agencies ✓Yes□No	NYSDEC SPDES permit	March 2010		
h. Federal agencies ☐Yes ✓No	Army Corps of Engineers Federal Energy Regulatory Commision	May 2010, September 2	010	
i. Coastal Resources. i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, o	r the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	aterway?	□Yes ∠ No	
 ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? 				
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.		s.		
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or ar only approval(s) which must be granted to enab If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete sections C.2.			∐Yes Z No	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.				
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, vill where the proposed action would be located? If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include spe would be located?	cific recommendations for the site where the p	proposed action	✓Yes□No □Yes✓No	
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s):				
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or parti or an adopted municipal farmland protection If Yes, identify the plan(s):		pal open space plan,	∐Yes Z No	

C.3. Zoning	2
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? GB - General Business; CB - Central Business; LB - Local Business; HI - Heavy Industrial; RD-5 - Residential	✓ Yes No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	✓ Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	☐ Yes Z No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Beacon City School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? City of Beacon	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? City of Beacon	
d. What parks serve the project site? Memorial Park	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, components)? Hospitality, Residential, Restaurant, Event Space	include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 8.943 acres 8.943 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % Units: 10 Hotel Rooms	☑ Yes□ No housing units,
 d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) 	□Yes ☑ No
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum	□Yes□No
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: 12 months ii. If Yes: Total number of phases anticipated Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) Anticipated completion date of final phase Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progres determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	ct include new resid				□Yes ☑ No
If Yes, show nun	bers of units propos		-		
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	[6]
Initial Phase				-	
At completion					
of all phases		=======================================	-		
g. Does the propo	osed action include	new non-residentia	l construction (incl	uding expansions)?	Z Yes □ No
If Yes,			`		
i. Total number		One			
				26.10' width; and17.75' length	
	extent of building s			486 square feet	
				Il result in the impoundment of any	☐Yes Z No
	s creation of a water	r supply, reservoir,	pond, lake, waste l	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes, i. Purpose of the	impoundment:				
	oundment, the princ	cinal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water stream	ns Other specify:
	,	-			
iii. If other than v	vater, identify the ty	pe of impounded/o	contained liquids an	d their source.	*
. A	size of the proposed	d :	Valuma	million gallons; surface area:	0.000.0
				million gallons; surface area: height; length	acres
vi. Construction	method/materials f	or the proposed da	m or impounding st	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, cond	crete):
		or me proposed da	orpounding or		
D.2. Project Op	erations				
a. Does the propo	sed action include a	any excavation, mi	ning, or dredging, o	during construction, operations, or both?	∏Yes √ No
				s or foundations where all excavated	
materials will r	emain onsite)				
If Yes:	0.4				
i. What is the pu	irpose of the excava	ition or dredging?	-4- \	4- l d. 6 dl de-0	*
				to be removed from the site?	
	at duration of time?		=		
iii Describe natu	re and characteristic	es of materials to be	e excavated or dred	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
m. Beserve nata	re und characteristic	of materials to be	e executated of died	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	o or morn.
	onsite dewatering of	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		☐Yes☐No
If yes, descri	be				
1	4-14-6-44-	. 1			
v. What is the to	otal area to be dredge	ed or excavated?	timo?	acres acres	
vii What would h	se the maximum de	nth of excavation of	r dredging?	feet	
	avation require blast		dicaging.	Tool	□Yes□No
-					
-	2				
				ecrease in size of, or encroachment	☐Yes No
	ng wetland, waterbo	ody, shoreline, bea	ch or adjacent area	?	
If Yes:		1.1	CC . 1.0		
				water index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic
description):					
3			-		

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement	t of structures, or
alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square	
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, describe:	
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	☐ Yes☐No
If Yes:	
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
• purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
proposed method of plant removal: if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
v. Describe any proposed rectamation/integration following disturbance.	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	✓ Yes No
If Yes:	
 i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: 26,592 gallons/day ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? 	Z Yes □ No
If Yes:	M Les INO
• Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	✓ Yes No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	Yes No
• Do existing lines serve the project site?	✓ Yes No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	_
7	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?	☐ Yes Z No
If, Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons/minu	te.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	Z Yes □No
If Yes:	M2 1 C3
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:26,592 gallons/day	
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all c	components and
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
sanitary wastewater	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	✓ Yes □No
If Yes:	
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: <u>City of Beacon</u>	
Name of district: City of Beacon	
• Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	✓ Yes □No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	∠ Yes N o
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes Z No

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	Z Yes □No
• Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	☐Yes ☑ No
If Yes:	
 Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: 	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	-
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	☐Yes Z No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface) Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p	properties,
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
• It to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands;	
	-
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
iv. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	☐Yes☐No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	☐Yes Z No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	1031110
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes ☑ No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□Yes□No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
 Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs) 	
 Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) 	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):	Yes No
 ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to ge electricity, flaring): 	nerate heat or
 i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): 	□Yes ☑ No
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):	☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No cccess, describe:
 vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? 	☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No ☐Yes☐No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/loother): 	Yes No
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays: Holidays:	

 m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? If yes: i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: Construction of Lobby Addition. 8am-5pm weekdays for approximately 2 months 	☑ Yes □No
 ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe: 	☐ Yes ☑ No
n Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? If yes: i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: New shielded exterior building mounted lights at lobby door	Ø Yes □No
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	☐ Yes Z No
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	☐ Yes 🗷 No
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored ii. Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year) iii. Generally describe proposed storage facilities:	☐ Yes Ø No
 q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s): 	☐ Yes ☑ No
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes: i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: • Construction: 15 tons per month (unit of time) • Operation: 12.3 tons per month (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:	
Construction: Bins for recyclable materials will be provided	
Operation: Bins for recyclable materials will be provided	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: Construction: Royal Carting	
Operation:Royal Carting	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?			
If Yes:			
 Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): 			
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:			
• Tons/month, if transfer or other non-	combustion/thermal treatment	, or	
Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal	treatment		-
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years		
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercia	l generation, treatment, storage	e, or disposal of hazardous	☐Yes☑No
waste?			
If Yes:		- 4 -4 C!!!4	
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, nandled or manag	ed at facility:	
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving	hazardous wastes or constituen	ts:	
iii Chaoife amount to be handled an concreted			
<i>iii.</i> Specify amount to be handled or generated t iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/monu evoling or reuse of hazardous c	onstituents:	
,, zees, and proposed to the same and the sa			
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing	g offsite hazardous waste facili	ty?	□Yes□No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be sent	to a hazardous waste facilit	y:
E Site and Setting of Dunnered Action			
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses.			
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	project site.		
☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Resid		(non-farm)	
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Othe ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	r (specify):		
ii. If this of uses, generally describe.			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	11010450	210jest Completion	(110.05 . /)
surfaces	4.45	4.46	,01
• Forested	0	0	0
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	0	0	0
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	U	U ,	U
Agricultural	0	0	0
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)			
Surface water features (letter manda atmospha mixture etc.)	0	0	0
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	V	**41	
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) New restand (house and houseth on 511)	0	0	0
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0
• Other			
Describe: Lawn & Landscaping	3.49	3.49	0

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes☑No
 d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: 	☐ Yes ☑ No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	✓ Yes No
<i>i</i> . Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facil If Yes:	□Yes ☑ No ity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	=======================================
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred.	Yes No
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	☐Yes ✓ No
If Yes:i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
☐ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): ☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
Neither database ii If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	6
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	□Yes□No
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		□Yes□No
If yes, DEC site ID number: Describe the type of institutional control (o.g., deed not visition and account);		
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations: 		
 Describe any engineering controls: Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? 		
Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? Explain:		☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain;		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	5 feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		✓ Yes No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	<10 %	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: Urban	100 %	
	⁰ / ₀ / ₀	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: 5-6 for	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: 100 % of site		
☐ Moderately Well Drained: % of site		
Poorly Drained% of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%:	84 % of site	
✓ 10-15%: ✓ 15% or greater:	8 % of site 8 % of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?		☐ Yes 7 No
If Yes, describe:		
s 		,
h. Surface water features.		
 i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including st ponds or lakes)? 	reams, rivers,	□Yes ☑ No
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes□No
If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal,		□Yes□No
state or local agency? iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following the project site.	llowing information:	
Streams: Name	_	
Lakes or Ponds: Name Wetlands: Name	Classification	
Wetlands: NameWetland No. (if regulated by DEC)	Approximate Size	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water q	uality-impaired	☐Yes ☐No
waterbodies? If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired;		
Tryes, name of impaned water body/bodies and basis for fishing as impaned.		•
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		✓ Yes N o
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		✓ Yes □No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?		Z Yes □No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole sources.	ırce aquifer?	□Yes ☑ No
If Yes: i. Name of aquifer:		
n traine of aquiter.		 ×

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy of None	or use the project site;	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant nat If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function)		☐ Yes Z No
 ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: iii. Extent of community/habitat: Currently: Following completion of project as proposed: Gain or loss (indicate + or -): 	acres acres acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as Yes No endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species?		
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or ani special concern?	imal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of	∐Yes ☑ No
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hu If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action		□Yes ☑ No
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project S	Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a design Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 3 If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	303 and 304?	∐Yes Z No
 b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive so i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s): 	oils present?	□Yes ☑No
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: Biological Community Geological Feature ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:		
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state liste If Yes: i. CEA name: ii. Basis for designation: iii. Designating agency and date:		

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☑ No
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐Archaeological Site ☐Historic Building or District ii. Name:	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	∐Yes∏No
 g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): 	☐Yes Ø No
ii. Basis for identification:	
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource?If Yes: i. Identify resource:	∐Yes Z No
 ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.): iii. Distance between project and resource: miles. 	scenic byway,
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	☐ Yes No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	∐Yes ∏No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	npacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name 10 Boulevard LLC Date August 28, 2018	
Signature Title_Robert McAlpine - Sole Member 10 Bould	evard LLC

ROUNDHOUSE AT BEACON FALLS

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL USE PERMIT

By 10 BOULEVARD LLC

For premises located at:

2 EAST MAIN STREET BEACON, NY 12508

SUBMITTED TO:

CITY OF BEACON PLANNING BOARD

JANUARY 26, 2010 Revised February 26, 2013 Revised August 28, 2018 Revisions appear in red

CONTRIBUTORS

Applicant:	10 Boulevard LLC 179 Main Street Beacon, NY 12508
	10 Leonard Street LLC 217 Main Street Beacon, NY 12508
Architect:	Aryeh Siegel, Architect 84 Mason Circle Beacon, NY 12508
Landscape Designer:	Naomi Sachs Design 55 South Brett Street Beacon, NY 12508
Civil Engineer:	Hudson Land Design 174 Main Street Beacon, NY 12508
Traffic Engineer:	John Collins Engineers, P.C. 11 Bradhurst Avenue Hawthorne, NY 10532
Surveyor:	Badey & Watson Surveying and Engineering, P.C. 3063 Route 9 Cold Spring, NY 10516
Planning Consultant:	Saccardi & Schiff, Inc. 445 Hamilton Avenue Suite 404 White Plains, NY 10601

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Part 1 Environmental Assessment Form
- 3. Part 2 Environmental Assessment Form
- 4. Part 3 Environmental Assessment Form
- **5.** Exhibit A Site Building Diagram
- **6.** Exhibit B Engineer's Report Sewage Disposal and Water Supply
- 7. Exhibit C Fiscal Impact Study
- 8. Exhibit D Environmental Site Assessment Reports for the Project Site
- 9. Exhibit E Proposed Soil Remediation Plan for the Project Site
- 10. Exhibit F Email from NYSDEC Confirming Fishkill Creek as Class C Creek
- 11. Exhibit G Environmental Resource Mapper for Fishkill Creek
- **12.** Exhibit H Traffic Impact Study

In addition, site development plans and drawings prepared by Aryeh Siegel, Architect, Hudson Land Design, Naomi Sachs Design, and Badey & Watson, and submitted to the Planning Board with the application materials, are made part of this document by reference.

INTRODUCTION

Summary

The Roundhouse at Beacon Falls project sits on approximately 9 acres of land near the intersection of Main Street and East Main Street and Fishkill Creek, and consists of the renovation and adaptive re-use of several existing buildings and the construction of new buildings, in addition to landscaping and other general improvements to the site to be conducted as follows (Note: All building label references refer to the Site Building Diagram attached hereto as Exhibit A):

1. Roundhouse

The existing brick Roundhouse building (Building 1) will be converted into a 14 room hotel and an 80-seat restaurant that will serve the hotel and the community. The restaurant will have a small outdoor dining patio overlooking the Fishkill Creek. The hotel rooms in this building are an adjunct to rooms contained in the Mill Building Hotel (Buildings 2 and 3). The previously approved Private Dining Room on the 2nd floor will be replaced by a Hotel Administration Office.

2. Mill Building Hotel

The 2 existing brick mill buildings (Buildings 2 and 3) will be connected to create a hotel. There will be 37 rooms in the Mill Building Hotel, which, when combined with the 14 rooms planned for the Roundhouse, will result in a total of 51 rooms in the hotel buildings. The spa will be located in this building, as well as 2 Artist Live Work Lofts.

3. Artist Live/Work Lofts

The non-historic structures attached to Building 4 will be demolished to expose the original historic brick structures. The portions to be demolished are additions that detract from the historic nature of the site. Building 4 will be converted into 5 Artist Live/Work Lofts.

4. Event Space

The lower level of Building 5 will become an Event Space, capable of holding approximately 226 people for catered events. A portion of the upper level of Building 5 will serve as the reception area for the Event Space on the lower level. The lower level is reached through the upper level. Access to a patio, deck, and large landscaped garden with views of the waterfall is through doors located on the lower level. An entry addition has been added.

5. Residential Building NO LONGER PART OF THE ROUNDHOUSE PROJECT

A new multi family residential building (shown as Buildings 6, 7, and 8) containing approximately 78 units will be constructed on the subdivided parcel at the northeastern portion of the site. The design of the new building will reference the 3 story brick mill buildings (Buildings 2 and 3) in keeping with the historic nature of the site.

6. Powerhouse

Restoration of the site's existing historic Powerhouse (Building 9) will be conducted by the Applicant's hydroelectric operator partner. The design of the building will reference Buildings 2 and 3 in keeping with the historic nature of the site. The restoration and operation of the Powerhouse will be subject to approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, which will be obtained by the Applicant's hydroelectric operator partner. The Powerhouse will be outfitted with large windows for public viewing of the hydroelectric generator and turbine inside. Based on the applicant's hydroelectric operator estimate, the restoration of the Powerhouse Building is expected to occur in 2021.

Zoning

The site is located in a number of adjacent zoning districts:

- Roundhouse (Building 1) is in the CB Zone
- Hotel (Buildings 2 and 3) are in the GB Zone
- Powerhouse (Building 9) is in the LB and GB Zone
- Artist Live/Work & Event Space (Buildings 4 and 5) are in the GB Zone
- Residential Buildings (Buildings 7, 8, and 9) are in the GB Zone
- Parking spaces occur in the GB, LB, HI, and RD-5 Zones

The proposed uses are consistent with the Zoning Code requirements for each zoning district, and are either permitted as of right, or with Special Use Permits.

Architecture

The renovation and adaptive re-use of the Roundhouse (Building 1) would reestablish the building as a significant part of the traditional structures along the Main Street corridor. This structure was originally built in the 1800's and its rehabilitation and re-use as a restaurant and hotel will ensure that the neighborhood fabric of the Main Street area remains anchored to its historic roots. The Powerhouse building (Building 9) will be rebuilt on its existing foundation, and the exterior appearance will reflect the architecture of the existing buildings on the site. The renovation of the 2 historic mill buildings (Buildings 2 and 3) would result in almost no change to the existing condition, apart from improvements to the under-utilized buildings. Partial demolition of the Artist Live/Work

and Event Space buildings (Buildings 4 and 5) will reveal the original historic structures that have been partially concealed over time by subsequent additions that detract from the historic nature of the original buildings. The configuration of the new residential buildings (Buildings 6, 7, and 8) at the northern end of the site has been designed to be similar to the height, style and massing of the existing historic mill buildings (Buildings 2 and 3); and the siting of the new structures maintains open areas around them. The new construction would not result in a significant loss of views to the mountains from the Main Street side of Fishkill Creek; and of Fishkill Creek from the neighboring properties to the east of the site. The structures that make up the new residential buildings have sections that are raised above the 1st floor and would allow views through the site to the Fishkill Creek and beyond. The new buildings will be solid, well-built structures that will look and feel as though they have always been a part of the site.

Green Building Practices

One of the goals of the project is to obtain the highest LEED certification possible, given development budgets and existing conditions of the buildings. This will be accomplished through landscaping, stormwater management, adaptive re-use of existing buildings and construction of new buildings using environmentally responsible materials and systems. Additionally, as mentioned above, the Applicant has partnered with an experienced local hydroelectric operator to restore the historic Powerhouse building on the Fishkill Creek (Building 9) and re-use the original turbine resident in the Powerhouse to generate hydroelectric power from the waters of the Fishkill Creek.

Ownership

The site, excluding the construction of Buildings 6, 7, and 8 on the subdivided parcel, will be developed as a mixed-use condominium development. The condominium development will consist of the 7 artist live-work spaces (Buildings 2 & 4) (each owned as individual units), the hotel property comprising Buildings 1, 2, 3, and 5 (owned as a single unit), and the Powerhouse (Building 9) (owned as a single unit), for a total of 7 units. The Applicant will own and operate the hotel unit. The Powerhouse unit will be sold to Applicant's hydroelectric operator. The 5 artist live-work spaces will be sold to the general public on an individual basis.

Specifics regarding the condominium bylaws and other legal arrangements are currently under consideration, and will be finalized after Planning Board approval has been granted. Applicant has retained the law firm of Certilman Balin Adler & Hyman, LLP, to handle the formation of the condominium. The firm has experience in Beacon via its work on behalf of the Tallix development adjacent to Fishkill Avenue.

The Applicant has sold Buildings 6, 7 and 8 to another Owner, who is renting the apartments. Units in these buildings may be rented as apartments or sold as condominiums, depending on market conditions.

Traffic Access

Access to the restaurant, hotel, spa and event space portions of the site would be via full movement entrances/exits on East Main Street and Leonard Street. Access to the site via the access drive on East Main Street will connect at a "T"-intersection to East Main Street east of the existing bridge structure in the vicinity of the existing driveway. It is proposed that this intersection be controlled by a "stop" sign. The sight distance looking left is available up to Leonard Street, while the sight distance looking right is somewhat controlled by the bridge abutment at the Fishkill Creek crossing. The driveway as proposed on the site plan has been located to the maximum sight distance.

Access to the hotel, spa, event space and artist live/work portions of the site on Leonard Street would be via a reconstruction of the existing driveway opposite Amity Street. Clearing of excess vegetation will be completed to ensure proper sight lines. In addition, it is proposed that new pavement markings including centerline striping and "stop" signs be installed at this intersection.

Access within the hotel, spa, event space and artist live/work portions of the site would be conducted via the internal site driveway.

Access to the future residential portion of the site (Buildings 6, 7, and 8) would be via two full movement entrances/exits on Leonard Street. The location of these driveways as proposed on the site plan maximize sight distances and the final design will ensure that any clearing or grading be completed to accommodate entering and exiting vehicles.

Parking

The project will provide a total of 283-173 parking spaces located in a number of lots on the site, as well as one remote lot for valet parking off Main Street near Herbert Street. Parking will be screened by landscaping and decorative wood fencing to mitigate views from the street and neighboring properties. The total required number of parking spaces is 282 173. Therefore 1 extra parking space is provided in addition to the required parking. The provision of sufficient on-site parking for the residents and users of the hospitality portion of the site ensures that on-street parking would continue to be available for patrons of Main Street businesses and neighboring residents. The following table summarizes the parking requirements:

Use & Parking Requirements	Area / Count	Proposed Parking Requirement
		-
Hotel 1 space for each hotel room, plus 1 space for each employee, plus 1 space for each 50 square feet of floor area of restaurants, bars, and other public rooms, other than lobbies, devoted to patron use	51 Rooms (14 in Roundhouse & 37 in Mill) + 8 employees	59
Restaurant in Hotel 1 space for every 50 square feet of floor area for patron use Note that the net area excluding kitchen, bar, toilets, and storage is 2,182 sf. Based on area, 44 parking spaces are required.	2,182 sf	44
Hotel Administration Office Non-simultaneous accessory to hotel use		0 (See Note 4)
Event Space (Place of Assembly) 1 space for each 4 seats, or in places without seats, 1 space for each 100 square feet of floor space used for public assembly	226 seats (57 spaces) 4,067 sf area (41 spaces) Note that area does not include reception space, which is non-simultaneous occupancy	57
Artist Live Work Space 1 space for each dwelling unit, plus 1/4 space for each bedroom, plus 1/2 space for each live work space containing retail area	(7) artist live/work spaces without retail area - 11 bedrooms total	10
Apartments 1 space per apartment + 1/4 space per bedroom	(78) apartments (40) 1 bedroom (38) 2 bedroom	107 (SEPARATE PROPERTY OWNER - NOT PART OF ROUNDHOUSE PROJECT - NOT COUNTED IN TOTAL REQUIRED PARKING)
Laundry / Utility 1 space per 1,000 sf	2,000 sf	2
Power House		. 1
Total Required Parking Spaces		173
Total Proposed Parking Spaces		173

In an effort to reduce the project's environmental impact on the Fishkill Creek, the Applicant has proposed landbanking 46 parking spots in the valet parking lot off Main Street near Herbert Street. This is authorized by the City's zoning law, which permits landbanking of up to 10% (28 spots in this case) of a proposed project's parking spots. Since the valet lot will most likely only be used by valets parking cars at larger events held at the event space, it is the Applicant's belief that landbanking these 46 parking spots will not adversely impact the availability of parking on the site for hotel and restaurant patrons.

The landbanked spaces can be converted to paved parking spaces if required after a determination of the actual usage requirements by the Applicant or the Planning Board. Suitable agreements between the Applicant and the City, satisfactory to the Planning Board, will be provided to assure construction of the landbanked spaces should the Planning Board determine these spaces are necessary.

Hotel, Restaurant and Event Space Operations

The entire hotel premises (Buildings 2 and 3, and the hotel portion of Building 1) will be accessible to hotel guests 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year. Guest access to the hotel portion of the Roundhouse (Building 1) will be separated from access to the restaurant and will be via keycard. The Mill Building Hotel (Buildings 2 and 3) lobby will be staffed at all times, and all other entrances to the Mill Building Hotel will be accessible to guests via keycards. The restaurant in the Roundhouse (Building 1) will be open during standard restaurant operating hours, depending on market conditions. The Event Space building (Building 5) will be open only for events, with such events typically taking place on weekends and nights.

The Applicant intends to provide valet parking service for restaurant and hotel patrons as necessary given business conditions. Valet parking for events at the event space will be provided during those events. Valets will park patrons' cars in the valet parking lot off of Main Street near Herbert Street. Given the distance of the valet parking lot from the hotel, restaurant and event space, the Applicant intends to provide the valets with a car so they can travel from the valet lot back to the restaurant, hotel or event space to pick up patrons' cars.

Phasing

The project is composed of four phases. Phase I consists of site work and landscaping, demolition of the non-historic structures surrounding Buildings 4 and 5, and the restoration and development of the Event Space (Building 5) and Artist Live-Work Units (Building 4). The existing buildings on the subdivided parcel (proposed Lot 2) will also be demolished as part of this Phase. The proposed water and sewer mains will be installed up to the proposed property line adjacent to the Artist Live-Work Units. The water and sewer mains will be stubbed into proposed Lot 2 for future Phase IV. Water and sewer service stubs will be provided for the future Phase III Mill Building Hotel,

(Buildings 2 and 3), and Powerhouse Building 9. All existing water and sewer service connections to Buildings 4 and 5 and existing buildings on Lot 2 will be disconnected from the municipal system and capped in place or removed.

Phase II consists of the restoration and development of the Roundhouse building (Building 1). The existing water main beneath the bridge over the Fishkill Creek will be repaired as part of this Phase. The existing sewer service connection to the Roundhouse will be disconnected and replaced. New water and sewer service connections will be provided to the building. It has not been determined at this time, but it is the Applicant's expectation, based on the hydroelectric operator's estimates of the length of the federal approval process, the restoration of the Powerhouse building (Building 9) will begin as soon as approvals are granted. New water and sewer service connections will be provided to the Powerhouse building during such restoration.

Phase III consists of the restoration and development of the Mill Building Hotel (Buildings 2 and 3). Water and sewer service connections will be connected to the buildings from the stubs provided during Phase I. The Event Space Addition will be construction during this phase.

Finally, Phase IV consists of the new construction of the Residential Buildings on the subdivided parcel (Buildings 6, 7, and 8). The water and sewer mains will be extended from the stubs provided as part of Phase I work to serve the new buildings. The water main will connect to the existing City water main located beneath Leonard St.

Landscaping

Landscaping on this project will fulfill several goals. First, it will improve the aesthetic experience of the site through the removal of dead, diseased, and invasive vegetation, as well as non-vegetative debris, and replacement with gardens, planted parking islands, and shade trees. Additionally, a combination of fencing and plant material (trees, shrubs, perennials, vines, and ornamental grasses) will be employed to create a visual buffer between the street and parking areas. Plantings will also be designed to soften buildings while framing and enhancing views of Fishkill Creek and Mount Beacon.

Second, the landscaping will help with the management of stormwater runoff through grading, hardscape and softscape (vegetation) elements that will serve to slow, detain, and filter surface runoff. Third, implementation of the proposed landscaping plan will result in restoration of a native riparian habitat through removal of non-native and invasive species and planting of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants. Fourth, the landscaping will improve safety conditions along the banks of the Fishkill Creek through planting, where possible, of evergreen or dense deciduous shrubs, obviating the need for guardrail fencing along steep drop-off areas of the creek.

A certified arborist has identified all dead, diseased, hazardous, and non-native/invasive trees that can and should be removed. He has also identified trees that should, if possible, be retained and protected during construction. Due to the industrial history of the site,

very few significant trees were identified. Trees to remain are shown on the site plan. It should be noted that while most trees along the banks of Fishkill Creek are proposed to remain, any trees to be removed will be cut close to the base, leaving the roots intact to maintain the integrity of the streambank.

Historic Preservation

As reflected in the site plan and architectural drawings submitted to the Planning Board, it is the Applicant's intention to restore the historic buildings to an appearance substantially similar to those buildings' actual historical appearance. As discussed above, the Applicant intends to construct the Powerhouse building and the new Residential Buildings in keeping with the historic appearance and character of the existing buildings on the site.

Storm Water

As site disturbance will exceed 1-acre, a full Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared in order to obtain coverage under the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit GP-0-08-001. The proposed disturbance area requires quality control of the storm water along with erosion and sediment control measures. Fishkill Creek bifurcates the two properties and runs in a south direction through the site. Fishkill Creek is classified as a fourth order stream; therefore, under the current permit, quantity control is not required and discharge directly to the creek without attenuation is permitted. Since the Creek has a substantial watershed, attenuating the site runoff and delaying the discharge to the Creek could actually exacerbate flooding problems within the watershed by discharging the site's runoff at a time closer to when the peak flow of the stream occurs. Since the site is very close to the bottom of the Fishkill Creek watershed, it would be beneficial to discharge the runoff as quickly as possible. This has been confirmed with a conversation with Pat Faracane of the NYSDEC. The permit does require qualitative treatment prior to discharge off site. Qualitative treatment will be established by providing a combination of storm water practices consisting of storm water infiltration basins, bioretention areas, and rain gardens. The use of these "best management practices" BMP's will ensure that the state standard goals are met.

Water Supply

At full build-out, the project is expected to require 26,592 gallons of water per day. Per conversations with the City of Beacon Water Superintendent, the anticipated increase in daily water demand is readily available. There is an existing 8" cast iron line connected to the City's 8" main in Leonard Street that feeds the existing buildings on the Leonard Street parcel. The 8" ductile iron line in Leonard St. was installed by the City in 2003. The Roundhouse building (Building 1) currently has no water service connection to the City's water main. There is a 12" cast iron water main that runs under the bridge over the Fishkill Creek, and dead ends at a hydrant on the opposite side of the street in front of the

Roundhouse building. This line is currently shut off at a water valve on the east side of the bridge due to a leak that occurred under the bridge in the winter of 2005. Repairs or replacement to the water main beneath the bridge will be necessary to put this section of water main back into service.

A new 4" service line will be provided to the Roundhouse building and will connect to the repaired 12" main beneath East Main Street. New service lines will be provided to all of the buildings on the Leonard Street parcel via a new 8" ductile iron water main connected to the existing 8" ductile iron water main beneath Leonard St. All old service lines including the 8" cast iron service line will be capped at the street ROW and abandoned in place or removed. The new on-site 8" water main will also connect to the existing 8" main beneath East Main Street to form a looped connection to the municipal system. The 8" line beneath East Main Street was installed by the city in 1997.

The proposed water main is shown on the plans as a private main with meter pits at each connection to the city's municipal system. The main will be offered to the city once it is complete. If the city takes ownership of the water main, the meter pits will not be required; however, in either case, individual meters will be provided at all of the buildings. If the new main is to remain private, cross easements will be provided along the main throughout the site with legally binding maintenance agreements for the benefit of each parcel for repair work if necessary. If the main is to be taken over by the City, an easement will be provided along the main through the site for allowance of maintenance and repairs by the Beacon Water Department.

Flow and pressure tests have been conducted on existing hydrants at both proposed water main connections. Two hydrants were tested for flow while monitoring pressure losses at two other hydrants per test hydrant. Each hydrant was flowed at a rate in excess of 1,400 gallons per minute with average pressure losses of 15 psi during maximum flow, and static pressures of 80 to 90 psi. Exhibit B "Engineer's Report Sewage Disposal and Water Supply" describes the flow tests and water demand in greater detail.

Sewage Disposal

At full build-out, the project is expected to generate 26,592 gallons of wastewater per day. Per conversations with the City of Beacon Sewer Superintendent, the City's existing sewer infrastructure and sewer treatment plant have sufficient capacity to handle the anticipated increase in daily sewage load. An 8" sewer main will be installed on site to convey the sewage to the City's municipal main. Most of the site's sewer connections are old and experience infiltration and inflow (I&I) problems. Several of the existing building's roof drains are tied into the sewer service connections. During rain storms, the flow into the City's sewer mains increases dramatically, which is a common problem in cities with old buildings. The increase in flow adds unnecessary strain to the existing sewer mains and sewer plant. Therefore, new sewer service connections will be provided at all of the buildings and all existing service connections on site will be disconnected from the City's mains and capped in place or removed. In addition, all roof drains will be disconnected from the building sewer and re-directed to a storm water management

system. This will eliminate (I&I) problems that currently occur on site; thus reducing I&I flow surges to the City's municipal system during rain storms. The new sewer main will connect to a manhole located on East Main Street. Sewage will be conveyed to the City owned sewer treatment plant which has a reported excess capacity of 3 million gallons per day. The sewer main will be offered to the City of Beacon. If the new main is to remain private, cross easements will be provided along the main throughout the site with legally binding maintenance agreements for the benefit of each parcel for repair work if necessary. If the main is to be taken over by the City, an easement will be provided along the main through the site for allowance of maintenance and repairs by the Beacon Sewer Department.

Braendly Fishkill Dam

The Braendly Fishkill Dam is a masonry dam located on the 2 East Main Street parcel. The Dam is registered with the New York State Department of Environmental Conversation (NYSDEC) as a Class B Dam (Intermediate Hazard), subject to regulation by the NYSDEC.

The Applicant's intention is to own and maintain the Dam per NYSDEC regulations, which require the following:

- Submit an Annual Certification to NYSDEC;
- Develop and submit to NYSDEC an Emergency Action Plan (EAP) by August 19, 2011 and submit annual updates to NYSDEC thereafter;
- Develop and implement an Inspection and Maintenance Plan by August 19, 2010;
- Have an Engineering Assessment (EA) conducted by a Professional Engineer and submit the Report to NYSDEC every 10 years with the first EA for a Class B dam due by August 19, 2015;
- Have a Safety Inspection conducted by a Professional Engineer on a regular schedule as defined in the Inspection and Maintenance Plan.

The Dam was last inspected by the NYSDEC on June 28, 2007. The inspector found no structural deficiencies, and no further action was required.

With regard to future inspections and maintenance, the Applicant's hydroelectric operator partner is required, prior to submission of an application as part of the hydroelectric licensing process with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), to have a complete Engineering Assessment performed. Additionally, as part of the hydroelectric licensing process, the NYSDEC will be notified of the Applicant's project plans at which point it can require, among other things, additional inspections. As the Applicant's hydroelectric operator partner intends to submit its application to FERC in September 2010, the Applicant anticipates a complete Engineering Assessment on the dam to be

completed this summer. Once the hydroelectric power portion of the project receives approval, FERC will conduct inspections of the dam every two years.

In the event the hydroelectric power portion of this project does not move forward, the Applicant will have a Safety Inspection performed before the end of the year and will conduct future inspections and maintenance of the dam as required by NYSDEC regulations.

Summary

Once completed, the project will add approximately 7 housing units, 51 hotel rooms, an 80-seat restaurant and a 226-seat event catering space to the Main Street corridor, and serve as an anchor for the east end of downtown Beacon. Given the paucity of hotel lodging within the City limits, and based on a feasibility study developed for the Applicant by HVS, a well-respected hospitality consultant, the Applicant expects operation of the hotel, spa, restaurant and event space to be a successful business enterprise that will help revitalize the east end of the downtown area by encouraging new visitors to Beacon, and allowing those who already visit to stay overnight. While the proposed project would generate some additional level of demand for community services, the City of Beacon Police Department and Fire Department have determined that the project will not create a significant increased demand for their services. Further, it is estimated that the additional tax and other revenues generated by the proposed project will more than offset the cost to the City. A Fiscal Impact Study prepared by Saccardi & Schiff, Inc., and attached as Exhibit C, determined that this project will have a significant net positive fiscal impact on the City of Beacon and on other local service and taxing jurisdictions. In addition to generating an annual surplus of revenue over cost to the City of between \$63,918 and \$150,018 and between \$190,489 and \$331,764 to the Beacon CSD when completed, the project will generate one-time, non-property tax revenues of \$653,717 for the City over the next 4 years.

Further, the project is net revenue positive to the City across all four phases, generating between \$10,809 and \$14,733 in net revenue for the City and \$29,422 for the Beacon CSD in Phase I, between \$17,798 and \$19,490 in net revenue for the City and \$43,696 for the Beacon CSD in Phase II, between \$17,829 and \$20,085 in net revenue for the City and \$46,398 for the Beacon CSD in Phase III, between \$16,738 and \$94,590 in net revenue for the City and between \$67,717 and \$208,992 for the Beacon CSD in Phase IV, and between \$744 and \$1,120 in net revenue for the City and \$3,256 for the Beacon CSD once the Powerhouse (Building 9) is completed. Further, once the Powerhouse has been reactivated, it will be assessed by the State and generate additional tax revenues at little or no additional cost to the City.

Besides the foregoing direct fiscal impacts, the study determined that the project will create approximately 139 jobs during construction, resulting in 135 person years of employment, almost \$10,000,000 in total employee compensation in New York State (125 person years and \$9,000,000, respectively, in Dutchess County alone) and nearly \$1,000,000 in non-property tax revenue. When completed, the project will contribute 35 permanent jobs to the local economy.

Additionally, development of the site is entirely consistent with multiple objectives of the City's Comprehensive Plan, as follows:

- Recommendation to encourage green building and develop sources of renewable energy in Beacon (specifically hydroelectric power from the Fishkill Creek).
- Recommendation to preserve and restore historic property.
- Recommendation to encourage development with a mix of uses in the former industrial sites along the Fishkill Creek and recommendation for green building.
- Recommendation to cultivate the growing artistic community.
- The Plan sets a goal of having 100,000 Sq. Ft. of improved floor area along Fishkill Creek by 2012. This project would ultimately contribute approximately 110,000 square feet of improved floor area.

Finally, the project will serve to restore an important and historic site that has been neglected for decades, before the buildings are beyond repair and are lost forever.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT (SEQRA)

The project is an Unlisted Action under SEQRA regulations. At the Planning Board meeting on February 9, 2010, the Planning Board declared its intent to assume lead agency status and directed the circulation of the Part 1 Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and supporting documentation to the appropriate agencies in order to obtain their consent to the Planning Board's designation as lead agency. Circulation of the EAF materials for designation of lead agency status occurred on March 10, 2010.

Assuming that no objection to the Planning Board being designated as lead agency is raised, and the Planning Board is designated lead agency, the following approvals are required for the project:

- City Planning Board:
 - o Special Use Permit Recommendation
 - Site Plan Approval, including Architectural Review Board Certificate of Appropriateness
 - Subdivision Approval
- City Zoning Board of Appeals:
 - Variance Approval
- City Council
 - Special Use Permits
- City Building Department:
 - Building Permit
- County Health Department:
 - Sewage Disposal and Water Supply
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation:
 - SPDES Storm Water Permit
- U.S. Army Core of Engineers
 - Storm Water Outfalls Permit
 - o Dewatering and Coffer Dam Permit
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 - o Order Granting Exemption from Licensing of a Small Hydroelectric Project of 5 Megawatts or Less

Pursuant to SEQRA, the EAF is required to assess the relative significance of potential environmental effects attributable to a project. Part 1 of the EAF provides numerical and other basic data to establish the potential environmental impacts of the project. Part 2 of the EAF is a checklist used by the Lead Agency to review the information submitted by

the Applicant in Part 1. Part 3 of the EAF contains a detailed evaluation of the importance and severity of the impacts identified in Parts 1 and 2, and includes information on how such impacts can be mitigated.

PLANNING BOARD REPORT UNDER BEACON CODE SECTION 223-18(C)

In conjunction with its Site Plan review, Section 223-18(C) requires the Applicant to submit a plan for the proposed development showing the location of all buildings, parking areas, traffic access and circulation drives, open spaces, landscaping, topography, type and location of exterior lighting, drainage improvements, special features and any other pertinent information, including information about neighboring properties.

As shown on the submitted site plans and discussed in the attached EAF Parts 1, 2, 3, as well as this document, adequate provisions for all of the requirements of Section 223-18(C) have been provided. The Applicant will continue to clarify these items in conjunction with its discussions with the Planning Board during the course of the approval process.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT REVIEW

With regard to its Special Use Permit review, Section 223-18(B) of the City Code requires the Planning Board to submit a report to the City Council authorizing site plan approval and the issuance of a special use permit if it finds that the following conditions and standards have been met:

- The location and size of the use, the nature and intensity of the operations involved in or conducted in connection with it, the size of the site in relation to it and the location of the site with respect to streets giving access to it are such that it will be in harmony with the appropriate and orderly development of the district in which it is located;
- The location, nature and height of buildings, walls and fences and the nature and extent of the landscaping on the site are such that the use will not hinder or discourage the appropriate development use of adjacent land and buildings;
- Operations in connection with any special use will not be more objectionable to nearby properties by reason of noise, fumes, vibration or other characteristic than would be the operations of any permitted use, not requiring a special permit; and
- Parking areas will be of adequate size for the particular use and properly located and suitably screened from adjoining residential uses, and the entrance and exit drives shall be laid out so as to achieve maximum safety.

The Applicant submits that the project as currently designed meets the criteria of Section 223-18(B) for the following reasons:

- 1. The arrangement of site improvements, landscaping, site lighting, site ingress and egress, adaptive re-use of existing buildings, and the architecture of proposed new construction ensure that the project will "be in harmony with the appropriate and orderly development of the district in which it is located". The proposed uses are consistent with a number of goals outlined in the Beacon Master Plan, and the essential character of the neighborhood would not be impacted by the project, and will indeed be enhanced by the proposed improvements.
- 2. The proposed improvements to the buildings and landscaping are consistent with the surrounding neighborhood and "are such that the use will not hinder or discourage the appropriate development use of adjacent land and buildings". The development of this project will strengthen the character of the existing neighborhoods and provide additional customers for Main Street businesses.
- 3. The operations of the Hotel, Event Space, Artist Live/Work Spaces, and the residential development, which require special use permits, "will not be more objectionable to nearby properties than would be the operations of any permitted use, not requiring a special permit". The Hotel is a quiet use, similar to residential. The Event Space is similar to a restaurant use, and its operations will be conducted primarily indoors. The Event Space is contained in a solid brick building, and measures will be taken in the renovation of the building to ensure that acoustic privacy is maintained. The Artist Live/Work Spaces are residential in nature, and according to the Beacon Zoning Code, the tenants must be artists whose endeavors are quiet. The residential development is in harmony with the multi-family residential uses on Main Street and in the surrounding neighborhood.
- 4. Parking is of adequate size for each of the proposed uses, and will be suitably screened from adjoining residential uses by landscaping and fencing.

Agency Use Only	[IfApplicable]
-----------------	----------------

Project : Roundhouse
Date : October 10, 2018

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

	onal sheets, as needed.	npacts win tosuit.				
See attached						
		3				

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions						
SEQR Status:	Type I	Unlisted				
Identify portions of Ea	AF completed for this Pro	ject: 🔽 Part 1	Part 2	Part 3		

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information All application materials submitted by the Applicant, memoranda from City staff and consultants, agency and public comments, and testimony from meetings held on the application.
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the City of Beacon Planning Board as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d). C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: Roundhouse at Beacon Falls
Name of Lead Agency: City of Beacon Planning Board
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Randall Williams
Title of Responsible Officer: Acting Chairman
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Ovicer) Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Etha Grogan, Planning Secretary
Address: 1 Municipal Plaza, Beacon, New York 12508
Telephone Number: 845-838-5002
E-mail: egrogan@cityofbeacon.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

ATTACHMENT TO NEGATIVE DECLARATION REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDED SPECIAL PERMIT AND AMENDED SITE PLAN FOR 2 EAST MAIN STREET (ROUNDHOUSE)

Parcel Nos. 6054-30-171812 & 6054-30-195787 (formerly) Parcel Nos. 6054-30-168772, -164762, -176760, -184766 (current)

CONCLUSIONS

This Part 3 analysis has been prepared to address all relevant areas of environmental concern as identified in the review by the Planning Board. This Part 3 analysis will discuss and explain the analysis of the potential impacts, and why a particular element of the proposed action will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact. Such analysis is appropriately set forth in the Part 3, even if the potential impact was identified as "none" or "small." Based upon a review of Parts 1 and 2 of the Long Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and all other application materials that were submitted in support of the Proposed Action, along with reports from City staff and consultants, information from involved and interested agencies, and information from the public, the Planning Board, acting as Lead Agency, provides the following rationale for its SEQRA Determination.

Project Description:

The Proposed Action is the further amendment of a Special Permit and Site Plan previously approved and later amended for the renovation and re-use of several existing buildings, construction of new buildings and general improvements to property formerly designated as 2 East Main Street and 10 East Main Street (also known as 10 Leonard Street), and formerly designated at Parcel Nos. 6054-30-171812 & 6054-30-195787 on the City Tax Map. As originally approved in 2010, the Project consisted of the conversion of the Roundhouse building to a hotel and spa with an 80-seat restaurant, conversion of two existing brick mill buildings to a hotel, conversion of another existing building for artist live/work spaces and an event space for approximately 250 guests, restoration of an historic hydroelectric powerhouse, and construction of 78-multi-family residential units in three buildings in the northeastern portion of the site. The project also included a subdivision of the property into two lots (one for the Hotel and Artist Live/Work component and the other for the Multi-Family component). On April 13, 2010 the Planning Board, acting as SEQRA Lead Agency, adopted a Negative Declaration for the overall Project. On May 17, 2010 the City Council granted Special Permit Approvals and on June 8, 2010 the Planning Board granted Subdivision, Site Plan and Certificate of Appropriateness Approvals for the project.

City of Beacon Planning Board October 10, 2018

In 2013, the City Council and Planning Board approved amendments to the Special Permit and Site Plan Approvals for the Hotel and Artist Live/Work component of the project, respectively, to permit a reduction in the total number of hotel rooms from 58 to 41, addition of a private dining room to the Roundhouse building, and addition of two Artist Live/Work spaces to the Mill building. Pursuant to SEQRA, a Negative Declaration was issued for the proposed amendments.

The Applicant is currently seeking a further amendment to the Special Permit and Site Plan Approvals granted in 2010 and 2013 by the City Council and Planning Board, respectively. The Proposed Action includes the following amendments:

- 1. Replacing the 2nd floor private dining room in the Roundhouse building with a hotel administration office.
- 2. Replacing the spa in the Mill building with 10 additional hotel rooms.
- 3. Lobby addition to the "link" at the Mill building.
- 4. The valet parking/landbanked parking area at the corner of Main Street and Herbert Street will have the exposed gravel removed, topdressed with topsoil and hydroseeded.
- 5. The entrance road from East Main Street is proposed to be widened from 24 feet to 28 feet to allow for 10 new off-street parallel parking spaces.
- 6. Planting 12 arborvitae in front of the low retaining wall at the parking lot along East Main Street.

The Proposed Action is an Unlisted action and does not involve amendments to the multi-family residential component which is now reportedly under separate ownership from the hotel and artist live/work space component of the overall project. The Planning Board opened a public hearing on September 11, 2018 to consider comments regarding any environmental impacts of the Proposed Action. The SEQRA public hearing was closed on October 10, 2018. As Lead Agency, the Planning Board has reviewed the significance of impacts resulting from the proposed amendments by comparing the 2013 approved condition to the 2018 proposed condition. The following findings are adopted by the Planning Board in support of its conclusion that the proposed amendments will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Summary of Rationale for Negative Declaration

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impacts on the environment. In summary:

• Impact on Land: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of any physical change to the project site.

The project site is currently improved with several buildings which collectively contain a restaurant, hotel, event space, and artist live/work spaces. An historic, hydroelectric powerhouse is also located on the project site. The project site which is

City of Beacon Planning Board October 10, 2018

the subject of the Proposed Action does not include the previously approved multifamily residential units for which no amendments are currently proposed.

The Proposed Action involves minimal disturbance to land. Proposed disturbances include a lobby addition to the Mill building, widening the entrance road from East Main Street for the construction of 10 off-street parallel parking spaces, and improvements to the valet/landbanked parking area at the corner of Main Street and Herbert Street to remove exposed gravel, add topsoil and hydroseed. Landscape plantings along East Main Street are also proposed.

The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of physical changes to the Property.

Impact on Geological Features: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on any unique or unusual land forms on the site.

There are no unique geological features proposed to be disturbed on the project site.

• Impacts on Surface Water and Groundwater: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

The Project will be connected to the existing public water distribution and sanitary sewer systems. Water usage and liquid waste generation is anticipated to be 26,116 gallons per day which is 1,236 gallons per day less than that which was approved in 2013 (27,352 gallons per day). Adequate water supply and sewer capacity exist for these additional flows.

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impact to surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

• Impact on Flooding: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on or alter drainage flows or patterns, or surface water runoff.

No changes in stormwater impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed amendments which involve minimal site work and disturbance. All land disturbances will be subject to appropriate erosion and sediment control measures during construction.

The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse impact on drainage flows or patterns, or surface water runoff.

Impact on Air: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on air quality.

The proposed amendments will not have a significant adverse impact on air, even during construction where land disturbance is expected to be minimal.

• Impact on Plants and Animals: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on flora or fauna.

No trees are proposed to be removed as part of this application. The proposed amendments will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts on plants or animals.

• Impact on Agricultural Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on agricultural resources.

There are no agricultural resources in the vicinity of the Property.

• Impact on Aesthetic Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on aesthetic resources.

The Proposed Action will not result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views, or visible from any publicly accessible vantage points either seasonally nor year around.

• Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on historic or archeological resources.

The Long EAF indicates the project site is near to the Beacon Engine Company No. 1 Firehouse which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places, however, that building is located on the opposite side of Main Street from the project site, approximately 1 mile away. The project site itself is comprised of renovated or reused historic buildings which enhance the area's historic character. The proposed lobby addition to the Mill building has been designed in a manner that is consistent with the historic nature of the existing building.

The proposed amendments will not have any significant adverse impacts on historic or archeological resources.

• Impact on Open Space and Recreation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on open space and recreation.

The area of the Proposed Action is not designated as open space by the City of Beacon. The Proposed Action will not result in the loss of a current or future

City of Beacon Planning Board October 10, 2018

recreational resource, eliminate significant open space, or result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.

• Impact on Critical Environmental Areas: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on Critical Environmental Areas.

The Proposed Action is not located in a Critical Environmental Area.

• Impact on Transportation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on transportation.

Based on Tables 1-R, 1-R (Modified for 2018 Amended Plan) and 1-S, annexed to the Long EAF Part 1, the vehicle trip differentials from the 2013 approved condition to the 2018 proposed condition result in the same or fewer vehicle trips for each study point.

In the Peak AM Hour, entry trips remain constant whereas exit trips will be reduced by 1. In the Peak PM Hour, entry trip will be reduced by 1 and exit trips will remain constant. In the Peak Saturday Hour, entry trips will be reduced by 7 and exit trips will be reduced by 4.

The number of off-street parking spaces will remain compliant with the requirements of the City Zoning Code. In the proposed condition, 173 off-street parking spaces are required and 175 off-street parking spaces are proposed. This total does not include 107 parking spaces that are required for the multi-family residential units on the adjacent parcel. The 175 parking spaces include the elimination of 8 parking spaces from the total count, and the addition of 10 new parallel parking spaces along the west side of the East Main Street entry road and 46 landbanked parking spaces in the valet parking area near the intersection of Main Street and Herbert Street.

The proposed amendments will not result in a significant adverse impact on transportation.

• Impact on Energy: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on energy.

The proposed amendments will not result in a significant adverse impact on energy.

• Impact on Noise, Odor and Light: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of objectionable odors, noise or light.

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to generate any noxious odors. Outdoor lighting will be shielded. Noise impacts associated with the proposed Project will be limited to temporary impacts generated during construction. In addition, there will be no significant noise impacts post-construction.

City of Beacon Planning Board October 10, 2018

 Impact on Human Health: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants.

The proposed amendment will not result in a significant adverse impact on human health.

• Consistency with Community Plans and Community Character: The Proposed Action is not inconsistent with adopted community plans and community character.

The Proposed Action is generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and City Zoning Code and consistent with the character of the existing neighborhood.

Based upon this information and the information in the Long Environmental Assessment Form, the Planning Board finds that the Proposed Action will not have any significant adverse impacts upon the environment. This Negative Declaration indicates that no environmental impact statement need be prepared and that the SEQRA process is complete.

Adopted: October 10, 2018

Beacon, New York

Motion by D.Burke, seconded by R.Muscat:

Gary Barrack

Voting: AYE

Jill Reynolds Randall Williams, Voting: AYE

David Burke

Voting: AYE

Acting Chairman

Voting: AYE

Patrick Lambert

Voting: AYE

John Gunn, Chairman

Voting: EXCUSED

Rick Muscat

Voting: AYE