

COUNTY OF DUTCHESS

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

July 10, 2018

To:

City Council, City of Beacon

Re:

Referral 18-193, Local Law Regarding Small Cell Wireless Telecommunication Facilities

The Dutchess County Department of Planning and Development has reviewed the submitted referral for countywide and intermunicipal impacts as outlined in General Municipal Law (Article 12B, §239-l/m).

ACTION

The City Council is considering a law that would regulate small cell wireless telecommunication facilities.

COMMENTS

To avoid confusion, we encourage the City to review its definitions to ensure that new terms are defined and old terms (regarding telecommunications) are reviewed in the context of the new law. For instance, absent its own definition, it would appear that a "small cell wireless telecommunications facility" would fall under the definition of "wireless telecommunication services facility" which has its own set of standards and is regulated within the City.

Under 223-26.4 (B)(2)(a) it states that the Planning Board may issue a permit for certain small cell facilities, including on an existing "tower". The definition for "tower" relates to a "wireless telecommunication services facility" for which the City Council issues special use permits. We believe there *may* be a conflict between the Planning Board issuing a permit for the "modification to an existing tower" as it appears that City Council may need to issue a permit for the "Wireless Telecommunications Service Facilities" under §223-24.5 of the Code.

Section 223-26.4(G)(1)(b) is unclear with respect to whether stealth technology designs are required due to the use of the words, "wherever possible" and "shall".

The City should note that although the law proposes to restrict new small cell sites in the Historic District and Landmark Overlay Zone, if a telecommunications provider wanted to place a small cell site in either the District or the Zone, they could apply for a use variance. As wireless telecommunications facilities are considered a public utility, they are not held to the same strict standards of the 4-part test that would normally apply. They need only prove that the site they selected is necessary to provide "adequate service".

The impacts of the small cell (5G) network will become more apparent over time as multiple carriers begin rolling out their networks. We encourage the City to revisit this topic and, if necessary, amend the law to address impacts as they become evident.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Board rely upon its own study of the facts in the case with due consideration of the above comments.

Eoin Wrafter, Commissioner

By. Colors

Jennifer F. Cocozza

Deputy Commissioner

Date Required: 7/10/18

Date Response Faxed: 7/10/19

☐ Also mailed hard copy

Reviewer: .

	ı	
_		_
_		_
	_	-
	_	
_		

utchess County Department of Planning and Development		FAX GIPO CIPLY	To Jenifer Cocozza	Date 6/11/18 # pgs FromLisa Edelson	
		F. W.	Co./Dept. Fax # 845-486-3610	Phone II	
	239 Planning	/Zoni	ing	Referral - St	andard Form
M	unicipality: City of Beaco	on			
R	eferring Agency: Planning 6	oard		Zoning Board of Appeals	✓ Municipal Board
Ta	ax Parcel Number(s):				A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Pr	roject Name: Local law co	ncerning Si	mall Ce	II Wireless Telecommunic	ations Facilities
Ap	pplicant: CH COMOCU				
A	ddress of Property:			***	
-	Type of Action:				Ithin 500 feet of:
	☐ Rezoning ☐ Site Plan ☐ Special Permit ☐ Use Variance ☐ Area Variance ☐ Other:				recreation area or public building) h recreation area or public building) Agricultural District RECD 2018 JUN 11 FM1
Da	ate Response Requested (if less than 30) days):	Public f	nearing is June 18th	
if s	subject of a previous referral, please no	e County re	ferral nu	umber(s):	
R	esponse from Dutchess	_	_ `	erice Use Only artment of Planni	ng and Development
	No Comments:	Corr	men	ts Attached:	The second secon
	☐ Maller of Local Concern ☐ Local Concern with Comments				
	☐ No Jurisdiction☐ No Authority		onditior enial	181	
	☐ Withdrawn	□ In	comple	te — municipality must resub te with Comments — municip	mit to County ality must resubmit to County
11 11 1					