MARCUS J. MOLINARO
COUNTY EXECUTIVE



COUNTY OF DUTCHESS

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

April 16, 2018

To:

City Council, City of Beacon

Re:

Referral #18-081, LL Regulating Net Development Area in R1, RD, and FCD Districts

The Dutchess County Department of Planning and Development has reviewed the subject referral within the framework of General Municipal Law (Article 12B, §239-I/m).

ACTION

The City is proposing a net development area calculation that would exclude certain constrained lands from the calculation of allowable density on parcels of land 3 acres or more in the R1, RD and FCD districts.

COMMENTS

The City has established residential densities in each zoning district in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan. As we have previously stated, as long as a proposed development meets the zoning criteria for a project, including staying off steep slopes and out of wetland buffers or floodways, and is adhering to setbacks, height standards and parking requirements, we do not see reasons to further limit density with this calculation. If municipal water and sewer is provided, the impact of development on raw land is even further reduced.

After viewing the Council's public workshop of April 9th, it appears that the allowable density of the proposed development, "Edgewater" would be reduced by a significant 71 units. This development is within walking distance of the Beacon Train Station. We believe the City appropriately enacted higher density zoning in this area which, if built, will provide economic benefits of pedestrian traffic to Main Street, while reducing vehicular impacts on the street system.

The City Council and many residents have called for the need for affordable housing, public transit, and an active Main Street for Beacon's residents through the Comprehensive Plan. In order to achieve these important goals, density is necessary. The law proposes an allowable density of 11 dwelling units per acre after environmental constraints have been removed, however, this number is on the low side for an urban area, especially a City. In fact, other, more suburban areas of Dutchess County allow greater density (14 dwelling units per acre) and are located in the County's more suburban areas.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Board rely upon its own study of the facts in the case with due consideration of the above comments.

Eoin Wrafter, AICP Commissioner

Ву

Jennifer F. Cocozza

Deputy Commissioner

lunge Cocazo

•	tment of	To Dept Fax #		Date 411	# pgs 🕽
Planning and Development			Phone #		
239 Planning/Z	oning R	eferral - Exer	nption	Commur	nities
Municipality:	Beacor)			
Referring Agency: ☐ Planning Bo	ard 🗆 Z	Zoning Board of Appeals		al Board	
Tax Parcel Number(s):				·····	
Project Name:	lating 1	Jet Developmen	+ Area 1.	n RIRD &	PCO Distr
Applicant: Chuf Beach	M	•			
Address of Property:					
Parcel(s) within 500 feet of:	Actions Requiring 239 Review □ Comprehensive/Master Plans		Exempt Actions:* 239 Review is NOT Required		
Parcel(s) within 500 feet of: State Road County Road State Property (w/public building or recreation area) County Property (w/public building or recreation area) Municipal Boundary Farm operation in an Agricultural District	definitions, Rezonings Other Loca (wetlands, I housing, and Site Plans (Special Per Use Variand	endments (standards, uses, district regulations, etc.) involving all map changes I Laws associated with zoning historic preservation, affordable chitectural review, etc.) (all) rmits for all non-residential uses aces for all non-residential uses aces for all non-residential uses	 Administrative Amendments (fees, procedures, penalties, etc.) Special Permits for residential uses (accessory apts, home occupations, etc.) Use Variances for residential uses Area Variances for residential uses Renewals/Extension of Site Plans or Special Permits that have no changes from previous approvals 		
Date Response Requested (if less than	30 days):	fore April 15	Exempt	Aotion submitted for li	nformal review.
If subject of a previous referral, please r					that afford
* These actions are only exempt in m	,	signed an intermunicipal agr County Office Use Only	eement with D	utchess County to	тпат епест.
Response from Dutc			anning an	d Developme	ent
No Comments:	2	mments Attached: Local Concern with Comments			A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
☐ Matter of Local Concern ☐ No Jurisdiction ☐ No Authority ☐ Project Withdrawn ☐ Exempt from 239 Review		Conditional Denial Incomplete — <i>municipality mus</i> Incomplete with Comments — <i>i</i> Informal Comments Only (Actio	municipality mus	t resubmit to County	
☐ Matter of Local Concern ☐ No Jurisdiction ☐ No Authority ☐ Project Withdrawn ☐ Exempt from 239 Review Date Submitted: 3/20/16		Denial Incomplete — <i>municipality mus</i> Incomplete with Comments — <i>i</i>	municipality mus n Exempt from 2	t resubmit to County	
☐ Matter of Local Concern ☐ No Jurisdiction ☐ No Authority ☐ Project Withdrawn ☐ Exempt from 239 Review		Denial Incomplete — <i>municipality mus</i> Incomplete with Comments — <i>i</i> Informal Comments Only (Actio	nunicipality mus	t resubmit to County 239 Review)	
□ Matter of Local Concern □ No Jurisdiction □ No Authority □ Project Withdrawn □ Exempt from 239 Review Date Submitted: 3 20 15 Date Requested: 1 14 16		Denial Incomplete — <i>municipality mus</i> Incomplete with Comments — <i>i</i> Informal Comments Only (Actio	nunicipality mus n Exempt from 2	t resubmit to County 239 Review) ☐ Major Pr eferral #: Z	