Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Project: 23-28 Creek Drive
Date: July 9, 2019

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- · Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- · Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□ио		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	Ø	
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	Dle	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	Ø	
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli	₩Z	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it Z NC		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part 1 Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g	۵	٥
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c	0	а
c. Other impacts:		٥	
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - 1. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc) Z	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
 c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. 	D2a	Ø	
 d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. 	E2h	Ø	
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	Ø	
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	Ø	
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
 The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. 	E2h	Z	
 j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. 	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	Ø	

. Other impacts:		Ø	
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□NO er,	7	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	Ø	
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c	Ø	
 c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. 	D1a, D2c	2	
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	Ø	
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	Ø	
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		[2]	
5. Impact on Flooding			
The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	Пио		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	Ø	
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	⊠	
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair,	Ele	Ø	

g. Other impacts:		Ø	
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	√ no		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	000000	00000
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	a	В
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	0	0
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	D	0
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	а	0
f. Other impacts:			0
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. r If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	nq.)	□NO	Z YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	Ø	D
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	Ø	
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	Ø	
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	Е2р	Ø	

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n	Ø	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	Z	
e proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, assland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. abitat type & information source:		Ø	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	Ø	
ther impacts:		Ø	
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources	_4 [. \	[Z]NO	
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1, E.3.a. ar	nd b.)	Ои	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1, E.3.a. ar	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. an If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. ar If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. an If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. an If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. an If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. an If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a E1 a, E1b C2c, C3,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E. 1.a, E. 1.b, E. 3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	□NO ☑ YES]YES
y rea , answer questions a - g. y 110 , go to bection 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. 	E3h	Ø	
 The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. 	E3h, C2b	IZI	
 c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round 	E3h	Z] Z]	
 d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities 	E3h E2q, E1c	Z I	
The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	₩ZI	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	Dia, Eia, Dif, Dig	Ø	
g. Other impacts:		Ø	
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	NO	D [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact _may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		G.
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	0	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g	Ö	

d. Other impacts:		В	D
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	Be, E3g, Bf		D
	Be, E3f, Bg, E1a, Ib	0	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	Be, E3f, Bg, E3h, 2, C3	0	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	√ NC) [YES
	Relevant Part I uestion(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	2e, E1b 2h, 2m, E2o, 2n, E2p	0	а
	2a, E1c, 2c, E2q	٥	۵
	2a, C2c c, E2q	מ	О
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	c, Elc	0	О
e. Other impacts:		0	D
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	√ NO) []	YES
	Relevant Part I uestion(s)	No, or smail impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	d	i o	0
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	d	<u> </u>	D.
c. Other impacts:		а	٥

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. [NO] YES (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.		Ø	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	Ø	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
The state of the s			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	N) []	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	۵	0
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	0	0
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		О
			U
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	Dlg	D	a
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	Dlg		
feet of building area when completed.	Dlg		857
feet of building area when completed.	ing. 📝 NO	i i	857
feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	i i	0
feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)	ing. NO	No, or small impact	YES Moderate to large impact may
feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur

Other impacts:		0	0
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	nd h.)) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	Eld	Ø	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh	Ø	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh	Ø	
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh	Ø	
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	Ø	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	Ø	
 g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. 	D2q, E1f	Ø	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	Ø	
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh	Z	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	Ø	
m. Other impacts:		Ø	

D2n

D2n, E1a

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO		/ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	٥	0//
 b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%. 	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		0
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	0	П
The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	П	
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		D
h. Other:		О	٥
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes" answer questions a part of "No" proceed to Part 3.	Mо	Y	/ES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3c, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3c, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. c. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

Agency Use Only [IfApplicable]

Project : 23-28 Creek Drive Date: July 9, 2019

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that

					FFAF 2019
Identify portions o	f EAF completed for this Projec	et: Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	
SEQR Status:	Туре 1	✓ Unlisted			
	Determination of	f Significance -	Type 1 and	Unlisted Actions	
5					
Please see attached.	·				
no signifi Attach ad	cant adverse environmental imp Iditional sheets, as needed.	acts will result.			

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information <u>All application materials submitted by the Applicant, memoranda from City staff and consultants, agency and public comment, and testimony from meetings held on the application.</u>
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: 23-28 Creek Drive
Name of Lead Agency: City of Beacon Planning Board
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: John Gunn
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date: 7/10/10
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) January Stranger & Beane, P.C. Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Etha Grogan, Planning Secretary
Address: 1 Municipal Plaza, Beacon, NY 12508
Telephone Number: 845-838-5002
E-mail: egrogan@cityofbeacon.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

ATTACHMENT TO NEGATIVE DECLARATION REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

APPLICATION FOR CONCEPT PLAN, SITE PLAN, SUBDIVISION AND VARIANCE APPROVALS FOR 23-28 CREEK DRIVE

Parcel No. 6054-37-037625

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon a review of Parts 1 and 2 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and all other application materials that were submitted in support of the Proposed Action (Unlisted), along with reports from City staff and consultants, information from involved and interested agencies, and information from the public, the City of Beacon Planning Board, undergoing an coordinated review, provides the following rationale for its SEQRA Determination.

Project Description:

The Proposed Action is to allow construction of a mixed-use development on the former City Department of Public Works (DPW) site with a total of eight (8) apartments and 20,000 square feet of commercial space (the "Proposed Action" or "Project") on property consisting of approximately 2.81 acres adjacent to Fishkill Creek and located at 23-28 Creek Drive in the Fishkill Creek Development (FCD) Zoning District (the "Property"). The Proposed Action includes a request for approval of a Concept Plan, Site Plan and Subdivision (lot line adjustment), and the following variances: (1) parking variance to allow 93 spaces where 113 are required; (2) building height variance to allow a 4-story building where a maximum of 3-stories are permitted; (3) building height variance to allow a 53.5' building where a maximum of 40' is permitted; and (4) a variance to permit two (2) of the eight (8) apartments to exceed the maximum size of 2,000 square feet. A Greenway Trail segment and public park are also proposed as part of the Project.

The Proposed Action is an Unlisted action. The Planning Board opened a public hearing to consider comments on the Proposed Action on April 9, 2019. The public hearing was closed on June 11, 2019.

Summary of Rationale for Negative Declaration

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impacts on the environment. In summary:

• Impact on Land: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of any physical change to the project site.

The Property is currently improved with several buildings previously used by the City DPW. The Project would include demolition of these buildings and to construct the proposed mixed-use development with grading and site work associated with such construction. Based on the information set forth herein, the Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of physical changes to the Property.

• Impact on Geological Features: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on any unique or unusual land forms on the site.

There are no unique geological features on the Property.

Impacts on Surface Water and Groundwater: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

Since site disturbance will exceed 1-acre, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is required to obtain coverage under the NYSDEC SPDES General Permit GP-0-15-002. The Project will result in a slight decrease in impervious area as compared to existing conditions, so pursuant to NYSDEC Stormwater Manual requirements the Project requires water quality control for 25% of the impervious surface coverage, as well as erosion and sediment control measures.

A Preliminary Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, prepared by Hudson Land Design, has been reviewed by the Planning Board and the City Engineer. The City Engineer has confirmed that the general design of the SWPPP appears acceptable. Prior to finalizing the SWPPP, infiltration tests will need to be conducted at the locations of the two (2) proposed infiltration systems. Sizing information for the hydrodynamic separators will also be provided prior to finalizing the SWPPP.

See response re Human Health, below, concerning groundwater quality.

The Project does not include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. The Project will be connected to the existing public water distribution and sanitary sewer systems. Water usage and liquid waste generation is anticipated to be 2,940 gallons per day. Adequate water supply and sewer capacity exist for these flows. A new sewer service connection will be provided at he proposed building and all existing service connections on-site will be disconnected to the City's mains and capped in place or removed. This will eliminate any inflow and infiltration issues that may be occurring as a result of the existing service connections.

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impact to surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

• Impact on Flooding: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on or alter drainage flows or patterns, or surface water runoff.

Portions of the site are within the 100 year flood plain. The Project design avoids disturbances within the flood plain to the greatest extent practicable, but some areas in the flood plain are proposed to be disturbed. A portion of the proposed building is located within the 100 year flood plan which results in 312.16 cubic yards of fill within the flood plain. In accordance with Chapter 123 (Flood Damage Prevention) of the City Code, the fill in the floodplain is mitigated near the south end of the Site where 336.72 cubic yards of existing material is proposed to removed for a net removal of 24.56 cubic yards. This provides additional available floodplain storage post-development.

A Flood Mitigation Calculation Plan, prepared by Hudson Land Design Professional Engineering, P.C., dated March 26, 2019, last revised May 28, 2019, was submitted to the Planning Board and reviewed by the City Engineer for conformance with the requirements of Chapter 123 (Flood Damage Prevention) of the City Code The City Engineer confirmed that the Flood Mitigation Calculation Plan is in conformance with such requirements. No disturbances are proposed within the 100 year flood way. Based on a review of the Flood Mitigation Plan, the Project is not expected to impact of change the flood plain elevation of the Fishkill Creek.

Portions of the Greenway Trail are located below the floodplain elevation so those portions of the trail could be partially inundated during flood conditions.

Fishkill Creek is classified as "C" by NYSDEC and will not require a stream bank disturbance permit. However, two proposed stormwater outfalls will require certain permits. A joint application was submitted to the U.S Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) and NYSDEC for the Nationwide Permit for Outfall Structures in connection with the two stormwater outfalls proposed to be constructed within the bank of Fishkill Creek. NYSDEC has issued a blanket Water Quality Certification dated May 22, 2019 after determining the Project is eligible for coverage under such blanket WQC. Any modification to the stormwater outfalls as shown on the plans received by NYSDEC on May 6, 2019 will require an updated determination from NYSDEC. Thus, an individual WQC permit is not required from NYSDEC. According to the Applicant, ACOE has acknowledged that the proposed disturbances to the streambank for floodplain mitigation are not within their jurisdiction and that the proposed work must be performed in accordance with FEMA and City of Beacon Regulations.

Therefore, the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on or alter drainage flows or patterns, or surface water runoff.

• Impact on Air: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on air quality.

Construction activities associated with grading and excavation could result in temporary air quality impacts. Air quality in the area, however, is not expected to be significantly impacted by project construction because the construction activities will be temporary and confined to the Property. Construction vehicles will emit certain air pollutants through engine exhaust. There is also the potential for fugitive dust to be created during the construction period from site preparation activities, including removal of existing impervious surfaces and vegetation, and site grading. These unavoidable short term impacts to air quality will cease upon project completion. Construction will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local codes.

• Impact on Plants and Animals: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on flora or fauna.

Approximately twenty-eight (28) trees over 6" caliper are proposed to be removed within the limits of disturbance. All other major trees are proposed to remain. A Landscape Plan has been prepared which will be finalized during the Site Plan review stage. The Landscape Plan proposes the planting of approximately twenty-eight (28) new trees.

 Impact on Agricultural Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on agricultural resources.

There are no agricultural resources in the vicinity of the Property.

• Impact on Aesthetic Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on aesthetic resources.

The Proposed Action will not result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. The Proposed Action will be visible from Fishkill Creek but the aesthetics of the site will be far improved from the existing condition of a DPW facility. Further, public viewing of Fishkill Creek from the Site will be enhanced by providing a Greenway Trail segment and a public park at the south end of the site.

 Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on historic or archeological resources.

The Project is located in close proximity to the State and National Register eligible Upper Main Street Historic District. However, the Project is set back a distance from the Main Street/Churchill Street corridor. Moreover, the proposed architecture and

layout of the Project is not in direct conflict with the Upper Main Street Historic District.

By letter dated May 23, 2019, NYS Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) cited the Upper Main Street Historic District and found that the Project will have "No Adverse Effect" to historic and cultural resources. By email dated May 17, 2019, SHPO also confirmed that based on information concerning the historic disturbance and development on the Property, the potential for the presence of archeological resources is low.

Therefore, the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on historic or archeological resources.

• Impact on Open Space and Recreation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on open space and recreation.

The area of the Proposed Action is not designated as open space by the City of Beacon. The Proposed Action will not result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource, eliminate significant open space, or result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.

• Impact on Critical Environmental Areas: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on Critical Environmental Areas.

The Proposed Action is not located in a Critical Environmental Area.

• Impact on Transportation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on transportation.

The Applicant submitted a traffic report prepared by Maser Consulting P.A., dated March 25, 2019 to review the traffic impacts associated with the Project. Based on data provided by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) as contained in their publication Trip Generation, 10th Edition dated 2017, the Project is estimated to generate approximately 45 total trips during the AM Peak Hour and approximately 51 total trips during the PM Peak Hour. Capacity analyses were conducting utilizing Existing, No-Build and Build Traffic Volumes to determine the existing and future operating conditions in the vicinity of the Property. The results indicate that the site generated traffic can be accommodated on the area roadways without significant impacts to operating conditions at the study area intersections. The study area intersections included: (1) Tioronda Avenue & Main Street; (2) Churchill Street & Main Street; (3) Creek Road & Churchill Street; and (4) Churchill Street & Beacon City Municipal Lot/Site Access. The traffic report by Maser Consultant was reviewed by the City's Traffic Engineer, Creighton Manning Engineering, LLP. Creighton Manning

generally concurred with the results after confirming that the 2017 traffic data was appropriately adjusted to account for growth and new projects since 2017.

Based on the professional traffic impact review, the Project will not create a significant adverse traffic impact.

• Impact on Energy: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on energy.

The existing energy infrastructure will adequately serve the additional demand. The Proposed Action does not require a new substation, or an upgrade to any existing substation.

• Impact on Noise, Odor and Light: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of objectionable odors, noise or light.

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to generate any noxious odors. Outdoor lighting will be consistent with typical residential lighting and will include building mounted lights and pole mounted lights. All lighting shall be shielded and pointed downward. Noise impacts associated with the proposed Project will be limited to temporary impacts generated during construction. Temporary noise impacts associated with construction will be mitigated by limiting construction activities to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m Monday-Friday, and 8 a.m. – 5 p.m on Saturday. It is not anticipated that blasting will be necessary during the proposed construction. If blasting does become necessary, it will be performed in accordance with all applicable state and local requirements. In addition, there will be no significant noise impacts post-construction.

• Impact on Human Health: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants.

Based upon soil testing conducted at the site, and the findings of those tests, a spill number was opened with NYSDEC by the Applicant's environmental engineer. Remediation of the site will be conducted where petroleum contamination was found, and the potential for groundwater contamination shall be assessed during remediation. The Applicant will prepare a remediation work plan for submittal to NYSDEC in accordance with NYSDEC requirements. A copy of the remediation work plan will also be submitted to the City of Beacon for informational purposes. No building permit should be issued for the Project until site remediation has been completed as determined by NYSDEC. Any additional contamination discovered during construction which requires remediation shall be remediated in accordance with all State and local laws, rules and regulations.

• Consistency with Community Plans and Community Character: The Proposed Action is not inconsistent with adopted community plans and community character.

The Proposed Action is generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and City Zoning Code.

Based upon this information and the information in the Full Environmental Assessment Form, the Zoning Board of Appeals finds that the Proposed Action will not have any significant adverse impacts upon the environment. .

Adopted: July 9, 2019 Beacon, New York

Motion by P. LAMBERT , seconded by R. WILLIAMS :

Gary Barrack Voting: ANE Jill Reynolds Voting: ANE David Burke Voting: EXCUSED Randall Williams Voting: ANE Patrick Lambert Voting: ANE John Gunn, Chairman Voting: ANE

Rick Muscat Voting: AYE

Approved <u>6-0</u> Denied