CITY OF BEACON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, an application has been made to the City of Beacon Zoning Board of Appeals by PIE Development Company, Inc. (the "Applicant") to allow a multifamily development consisting of nine dwelling units, which will be distributed into three buildings, on property located at 53 Eliza Street in the R1-5 Zoning District, said premises being known and designated on the tax map of the City of Beacon as Parcel ID# 6054-29-031870 (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Property is comprised of approximately 0.69 acres (30,307 square feet) of land; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant seeks a use variance from City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:2 to permit multifamily dwelling units in the R1-5 Zoning District where multifamily dwelling units are not a permitted use; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant also seeks an area variance to construct up to nine dwelling units, where the maximum number of dwelling units permitted on the Property is six pursuant to the City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:2; and

WHEREAS, a duly advertised public hearing on the use variance application was held on September 18, 2018, and continued to October 16, 2018, November 20, 2018, December 18, 2018, and January 15, 2019, at which time all those wishing to be heard on the application were given such opportunity; and

WHEREAS, a duly advertised public hearing on the area variance application was held on December 18, 2018, and continued to January 15, 2019, at which time all those wishing to be heard on the application were given such opportunity; and

WHEREAS, the Board closed the public hearings on the use and area variance applications on January 15, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the proposed action is an Unlisted action pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the City Code Section 223.55.C(1)(b), when deciding a request for a use variance:

No such use variance shall be granted by a Board of Appeals without a showing by the applicant that applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship. In order to prove such unnecessary hardship the applicant shall demonstrate to the board of appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located:

- [1] The applicant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence;
- [2] The alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood;
- [3] The requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
- [4] The alleged hardship has not been self-created; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to New York State General City Law § 81-b(4) and City Code Section 223.55.C(2)(b), when deciding the request for an area variance:

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall take into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. In making such a determination, the board shall also consider:

- [1] Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;
- [2] Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;
- [3] Whether the requested area variance is substantial;
- [4] Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and
- [5] Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Appeals, in granting variances, shall grant the minimum variance that it shall deem necessary and adequate to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the Applicant, and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community; and

WHEREAS, based upon the Record before it and after viewing the premises and neighborhood concerned and upon considering each of the factors set forth in Section 223.55.C(1)(b)[1]-[4] of the City Code, the Zoning Board finds with respect to the requested use variance to permit a multifamily use in a single family residential zoning district:

1. The Applicant cannot realize a reasonable return as demonstrated by competent financial evidence.

The Applicant must show with "dollars and cents" proof that land cannot yield a reasonable return if used only for a purpose allowed in that zone. For example, the courts have determined that evidence should be provided to show: (1) the amount of purchase price paid by the applicant for the entire parcel of property; (2) the present value of the entire parcel of property or any part thereof; (3) the expenses and carrying charges in connection with the maintenance of the entire parcel of property or any part thereof; (4) the amount of taxes on the entire parcel of property or any part thereof; (5) the amount of any mortgages, liens or other encumbrances, if any, on the entire parcel of property or any part thereof; (6) the amount of annual income realized by the current use of the property; (7) the amount of potential annual income realized by the permitted

uses; and (8) an estimate as to what a reasonable return on the entire parcel of property or any part thereof should be based on the initial investment of the applicant or the present value of the property. This list is not exhaustive, but is a recommendation as to what type of evidence should be in the record when the Board makes its determination. *Crossroads Recreation, Inc. v. Broz*, 4 N.Y.2d 39,44 (1959).

The Applicant provided the Zoning Board with a breakdown of the costs associated with the Applicant's purchase and renovation of the property. The application provides "dollars and cents" proof that the Applicant cannot realize a reasonable return if the property is used for any use currently permitted under the zoning.

The existing zoning permits residential uses, detached single-family dwellings not to include house trailer; buildings, structures and uses owned by the City of Beacon; churches or other places of worship; public schools; and parks and farms. The Applicant's Financial Analysis confirms that subdividing the lot into two or three lots, as permitted by right, and improving each with a single-family home for rental and/or for sale, will result in a significant financial loss to the Applicant, given its initial investment in the property and subsequent improvements.

The Applicant submitted a Supplemental Financial Analysis, which includes correspondence from the Applicant's financial consultant, McAlpine Construction Co., Inc., dated January 7, 2019, which references opinions from CR Properties Group, LLC, dated January 3, 2019 and from McGrath Realty Inc., dated January 7, 2019. Each expert assessed the Property, the location of the Property, access to the Property, and the highest and best use of the Property given its existence as non-conforming commercial use and its marketability as a commercial use given the site conditions and constraints. The Supplemental Financial Analysis considered the fair market value representative of these factors that are not otherwise reflected in a sale comparison approach. The Supplemental Financial Analysis confirms that the fair market value of the Property is between \$400,000 and \$450,000. Pursuant to a letter submitted by the Applicant's account, Kevin David, dated January 29, 2019, the Property was purchased in March of 1999 for \$161,039 (\$145,000 for the buildings, \$10,000 for the land and \$6,039 for closing costs). As of December 31, 2017, the building depreciated \$69, 867 and had a cost basis of \$75,133. In his letter, Mr. Davis stated that the current cost basis remains \$75,133 plus the cost of improvements to the property (\$545,000) for a total of \$620,133. Sale of the property at \$450,000 would not provide the Applicant with a reasonable return on his investment.

The Applicant's supplemental submission dated October 30, 2018, includes a schedule of costs for a hypothetical three lot residential subdivision. A three lot subdivision is the maximum theoretical as-of-right subdivision buildout/layout for the premise based on the City's dimensional requirements and the shape of the lot. Such a subdivision would result in a total loss of \$540,000, factoring in land cost, building cost, potential sale price of the homes, site development costs and other soft costs. This submission also includes a schedule of costs associated with a six unit multifamily development complex. According to the cost breakdown, such a development would result in a total loss of \$151,440. Comparatively, a nine unit multifamily development complex is the only option that would provide a reasonable return, with a \$174,700 profit, as set forth in the Applicant's January 7, 2019 submission.

The Applicant submitted a letter from Robert McAlpine, dated December 7, 2018 providing a breakdown of soft costs and several estimates providing a breakdown of site costs as part of the Applicant's December 14, 2018 submission. The Applicant also submitted a Proposal for Architectural Services from Aryeh Sigel, dated December 3, 2018 to design the proposed multifamily development complex with nine condominium apartments distributed to three buildings.

Other permitted uses, such public schools or a church would require extensive off-street parking which is not available on the site. The Applicant's Supplemental Financial Analysis, dated January 7, 2019, provides that none of the permitted uses, including the existing non-conforming use, would result in any return on the Property. The Applicant's financial analyses demonstrate that a use variance to permit multifamily housing and an area variance to permit up to nine dwelling units on the Property are the minimum variances necessary to provide a reasonable return.

The Applicant's Financial Analysis, dated January 7, 2019, considers the amount paid for the property, the present value of the parcel; expenses and carrying charges for the Property, renovation costs, an accountant statement regarding cost basis, property appraisal information, taxes on the Property; mortgages on the Property; the income realized by the business (including prospective tenants) and the economic viability of each permitted use under existing zoning, including the pre-existing non-conforming use. After reviewing the Applicant's submission, the Board finds that the Applicant has demonstrated by competent financial evidence that it cannot realize a reasonable return by using the property for uses currently permitted by the City of Beacon Zoning Code in the R1-5 Zoning District.

2. The alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood.

The Applicant's hardship is unique to the Applicant's property and is not applicable to a substantial portion of the zoning district or neighborhood. The Property is approximately 30,307 square feet. It is a very large lot in the R1-5 Zoning District. This Property presents a unique situation because of its large lot size, existing buildings on the premise, and its pre-existing non-conforming commercial use. The proposed project also allows the Applicant to reuse one of the existing buildings on the site for two multifamily dwelling units. The proposed project is more conforming than the existing non-conforming commercial use. The site location and use constraints of the Property make it not commercially viable because there is limited access to main roads and highways for commercial transportation. Furthermore, it is located adjacent to other multifamily housing developments. Thus, the alleged hardship is unique to 53 Eliza Street.

3. The requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood.

Granting the use variance will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood. Nor will the variance stimulate a pattern for future development that would eventually alter the neighborhood's character. The Property is zoned in the R1-5 Zoning District and is surrounded by residential uses, including multifamily residential uses located at 31 Eliza Street and 195 Fishkill Avenue. The Property has been occupied by nonconforming commercial and office building uses for several decades, and is presently used as a storage yard.

The Applicant is seeking to reuse the Property in a manner more consistent with the area's existing residential zoning. The Applicant seeks to use the Property for a residential purpose that is less

intense and onerous than the existing non-conforming use. Several properties in the R1-5 Zoning District that surround 53 Eliza Street contain multifamily uses, such as townhouse developments, two-family-developments, multi-family apartment uses and other commercial uses.

The Applicant's multifamily proposal will bring the Property further into conformity with surrounding uses, development patterns and the intent of residential zoning. As a result, the Board finds that the granting of the variance will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood.

4. The alleged hardship has not been self-created.

The hardship exists because the neighborhood has changed since the original commercial zoning classification on the Property. The Property is presently zoned in the R1-5 (1-Family Residence District) and is surrounded by residential uses. Prior to 1998 the Property was zoned as commercial in the OB (Office Business) district. For decades the property has been occupied by commercial uses and office buildings. The Applicant has owned the Property since March 1999 and has maintained the property as a legal non-conforming office, storage and contractor's yard. Over twenty years, the residential uses in the community have intensified. Multifamily developments have been constructed on adjacent land. Since 1998 the City has become popular among residents moving away from New York City. Retail, commercial and hospitality businesses have also increased on Main Street. The current use of the Property as a non-conforming storage yard is now out of place for the surrounding neighborhood. As a result, the Property is no longer suitable for such commercial use.

The Applicant did not purchase the Property intending to develop multifamily housing. The Applicant has owned the Property for more than two decades and originally purchased the property to conduct his commercial business. The Applicant tried to sell the property for several years, beginning in 2014, but was unsuccessful. ¹

As a result, the hardship is not self-created.

AND WHEREAS, based upon the Record before it and after viewing the premises and neighborhood concerned and upon considering each of the factors set forth in Section 223.55(C)(2)(b)[1]-[5] of the City Code, the Zoning Board finds with respect to the requested area variance to permit nine multifamily units where six are permitted:

1. The area variance for nine multifamily units will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood and there will not be a detriment to nearby properties created by the granting of the area variance.

5102/11/645455v1 2/22/19

¹ 54 Marion Avenue, LLC v. City of Saratoga Springs, 162 A.D.3d 1341 (2d Dep't 2018) ("Property owner's allegation, that financial hardship arising from residential property's unique location on corner of intersection between side street and busy commercial thoroughfare arose decades after the property was acquired due to a gradual shift in the character of the area that rendered the permitted residential use onerous and obsolete, alleged that the hardship was not self-created.")

Kontogiannis v. Fritts, 131 A.D.2d 944 (3d Dep't 1987) ("Zoning board's conclusion that use variance petitioner's hardship was self-created was unsupported; record showed that applicant did not construct recreation building with the goal of converting it into multifamily dwelling several years later, but that original purpose of building became obsolete.")

The proposed residential use will replace the existing legal non-conforming commercial use on the property to bring the Property further into conformity with surrounding uses, development patterns and the intent of the residential zoning designation for the lot. The Applicant also plans to adaptively reuse one of the existing structures on the Property for two multifamily dwelling units. The Property is also adjacent to existing multifamily residential developments, including a seventy unit multi-story apartment building at 31 Eliza Street, and an eight unit multi-story apartment building at 195 Fishkill Avenue.

For these reasons, in addition to the reasons discussed above, the area variance for nine multifamily units will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood.

2. The benefit sought by the Applicant cannot be achieved by some method feasible for the Applicant to pursue, other than the requested area variance.

The benefit sought by the Applicant is to obtain a reasonable return on its original investment. As outlined in the Applicant's Financial Analysis and supplemental documentation, nine multifamily units, is the minimum necessary that would provide a reasonable return. The Applicant's Financial Analysis demonstrates that the use of the Property for a residential use with nine residential dwelling units is the minimum density necessary to provide a reasonable return. None of the permitted uses under zoning, including the pre-existing legal non-conforming use would provide a reasonable return for the Applicant. The Applicant also evaluated whether it could achieve a reasonable return by constructing up to six multifamily dwelling units. Such a development would also yield a financial loss to the applicant of up to \$151,440. The Applicant considered building costs, land costs, estimated sales prices, soft costs and site costs in its evaluation. The Zoning Board believes that the Applicant has demonstrated through its financial analyses, other supplemental information and accompanying dollar and cents proof, that the benefit sought cannot be achieved by any other feasible methods. There is no other feasible design for the lot that would yield a reasonable return.

3. The requested variance is mathematically substantial; however, this does not outweigh the other factors meriting the granting of the variance.

The requested variance is mathematically substantial. The variance request represents a fifty percent increase from what is permitted. However, in considering whether a variance is substantial, the Board must examine the totality of the circumstances within the application and the overall effect of granting the requested relief. The proposed Project complies with all other bulk requirements in the City Code. The design of the proposed dwelling units is reflective of other properties within the immediate vicinity of the premises and is therefore not a departure from the overall design of the neighborhood. In addition, as previously discussed, the project will not adversely impact neighboring properties. Therefore, while the project is mathematically substantial, this factor does not outweigh the other factors involved in the area variance balancing test.

4. The proposed variance will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

The Property currently contains a more intense, legal non-conforming use that is less compatible with the neighborhood and the R1-5 Zoning District, as compared to the proposed multifamily use. The proposed area variance will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. There will be no adverse effects of noise, vibrations, odor, traffic, or impact on public services caused by the requested variance.

The Applicant retained the services of Maser Consultant, P.A. to review any potential traffic impacts. The Traffic Evaluation, dated September 27, 2018, concludes that the Proposed Development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on traffic operating conditions in the vicinity of the Property. Furthermore, the proposed nine unit multifamily development and the asof-right three-unit single family homes would have similar traffic generation during each of the Peak Hours analyzed. As part of the Uncoordinated SEQRA review, the Zoning Board has determined that the entire action, including the required variances, will have no potential significant adverse environmental impacts.

5. The alleged difficulty is not self-created for the reasons discussed above.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, after taking a "hard look" at the EAF and all of the associated materials prepared in connection with the use and area variance request, undergoing an uncoordinated review, the Board herein adopts the attached Negative Declaration for the Proposed Action.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Appeals, finds that for the reasons set forth above, the requested use and area variances are the minimum variances necessary and adequate to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the Applicant, and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that for the reasons set forth above, the Applicant has demonstrated that the applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused an unnecessary hardship for the Applicant.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that for the reasons set forth above, said application by PIE Development Company, Inc. for a use variance from City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:2 to permit multifamily dwelling units on property located at 53 Eliza Street in the R1-5 Zoning District, is hereby GRANTED.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that for the reasons set forth above, the application by PIE Development Company Inc. for an area variance to construct up to nine dwelling units, where density requirements allow a maximum of six units pursuant to City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:6, is hereby GRANTED.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all the variances granted herein are subject to the following conditions:

- 1. No permit or Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued until the Applicants have paid in full all application and consultant fees incurred by the City of Beacon in connection with the review of this application.
- 2. The Applicant has six months to commence construction following the date of issuance of a building permit and 24 months after the date of issuance of said building permit to complete construction. The Applicant has one year to obtain a building permit from the Applicant's last appearance before the Planning Board.
- 3. The use and area variances granted by this resolution are subject to approval of the site plan from the Planning Board for no more than nine multifamily dwelling units.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Zoning Board of Appeals may grant a six month extension of this variance approval provided that a written request for an extension is submitted before the variance expires. Such extension shall only be granted upon a showing by the Applicant that the circumstances and conditions upon which the variance was originally granted have not substantially changed.

Dated: February 20, 2019

Mr. Robert Lanier, Chairman

Use Variance to Permit Multifamily Housing

Chairman Lanier called the roll:

Motion	Second	Zoning Board Member	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Excused	Absent
		Robert Lanier	X				
		John Dunne	X				
X		Jordan Haug	X				
	X	Judy Smith	X				
		David Jensen		X			
					<u> </u>		
	1	Motion Carried	4	1	 		

Area Variance to Permit Up to Nine Residential Units

Chairman Lanier called the roll:

Motion	Second	Zoning Board Member	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Excused	Absent
		Robert Lanier	X				
	X	John Dunne	X				
X		Jordan Haug	X				
		Judy Smith	X				
		David Jensen		X			
		Motion Carried	4	1			

Vote to Approve Resolution with Conditions, As Amended on the Record

Chairman Lanier called the roll:

Motion	Second	Zoning Board Member	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Excused	Absent
		Robert Lanier	X				
		John Dunne	X		1		
		Jordan Haug	X				
X		Judy Smith	X				
	X	David Jensen	X				
	.1	Motion Carried	5	0			

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:			
53 Eliza Street			
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
53 Eliza Street Beacon, NY 12508			
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
Proposed multi-family development to replace the existing non-conforming commercial separate buildings with parking areas, internal travel-ways and landscaped areas on the second secon	al buildings and commercial uses the residentially zoned property.	with nine (9) apartments in 3	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: (845) 838	-1775	
PIE Development Company, Inc.	E-Mail: EPPDHV@oplonline.net		
Address: 53 Eliza Street			
City/PO: Beacon	State: NY	Zip Code: 12508	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone: 845-838-2	2490	
Aryeh Slegel Architect	E-Mail: ajs@ajsarch.o		
Address:			
84 Mason Circle			
City/PO: Beacon	State:	Zip Code:	
	NY	12508	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone		
	E-Mail:		
Address:			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, assistance.)	Funding, or Spon	sorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	ax relief, and any other	forms of financial
Government En	ntity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application (Actual or p	
a. City Council, Town Board or Village Board of Truste				
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commi	☑Yes□No ssion	SITE PLAN AND ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD APPROVAL	8/28/18	
c. City Council, Town or Village Zoning Board of A	✓Yes No	VARIANCE RELIEF	8/28/18	
d. Other local agencies	□Yes ZNo			
e. County agencies	⊘ Yes□No	DCDOH GML 239 Advisory Referral Review	12/1/18	
f. Regional agencies	□Yes Z No			
g. State agencies	□Yes Z No			
h. Federal agencies	□Yes Z No			
i. Coastal Resources. i. Is the project site within	n a Coastal Area, c	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland V	/aterway?	□Yes ☑No
ii. Is the project site locate iii. Is the project site withir	ed in a community a Coastal Erosion	with an approved Local Waterfront Revitaliza Hazard Area?	tion Program?	☑ Yes□No □ Yes☑No
C. Planning and Zoning				
C.1. Planning and zoning a				
only approval(s) which must If Yes, complete sec	be granted to enal- tions C, F and G.	mendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule ole the proposed action to proceed? nplete all remaining sections and questions in	-	□Yes ZNo
C.2. Adopted land use plans	S.			
where the proposed action	would be located?	lage or county) comprehensive land use plan(secific recommendations for the site where the	•	☑Yes□No ☑Yes□No
b. Is the site of the proposed a Brownfield Opportunity A or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s):	action within any l rea (BOA); design	ocal or regional special planning district (for e lated State or Federal heritage area; watershed	example: Greenway management plan;	□Yes ☑ No
c. Is the proposed action local or an adopted municipal fall If Yes, identify the plan(s):	ated wholly or part	ially within an area listed in an adopted munic n plan?	cipal open space plan,	□Yes ZNo

C.3. Zouing	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? The project is located in the R1-5 Single Family Residential district adjacent to properties zoned RD-5 Designed Residence.	☑Yes□No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	□Yes ZNo
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Beacon City School District	
What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? City of Beacon Police	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? City of Beacon Fire Department	
d. What parks serve the project site? Memorial Park, South Ave Park, Scenic Hudson's Long Dock Park.	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)? Residential	I, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 0.696 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles square feet)? Units:	Yes No No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes,	□Yes Z No
i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? iii. Number of lots proposed? iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum	□Yes□No
e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: ii. If Yes: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) • Anticipated completion date of final phase • Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progred determine timing or duration of future phases:	Yes No

f Does the project	t include new resid	ential uses?			[7]Vas[7]
	bers of units propo				☑Yes ☐ No
TI T OO' OHOW HAIL	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	5
		- no samur	THE THIRT		=
Initial Phase				9 units	
At completion					
of all phases					
a. Does the prope	sed action include	nau non racidanti	al construction (incl	uding avanalana)?	[7] [7] ·
If Yes.	acd action motage	Hew Hott-tealderitt	ai constituction (inch	iding expansions):	□Yes No
i. Total number	of structures				
ii Dimensions (in feet) of largest m	ronosed structure	height:	width; andlength	
iii. Approximate	extent of building	snace to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
h. Does the propo	sed action include	construction or ot	her activities that wil	I result in the impoundment of any	☐ Yes Ø No
	s creation of a wate	r suppiy, reservon	, pond, take, waste I	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	:				
i. Purpose of the	: impoundment:	ningl course of the	Tuesda et .	Ground water Surface water stream	
n. If a water imp	outtument, the print	cipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water stream	ns ∐Other specify:
iii. If other than w	valer, identify the b	ne of imnounded	contained liquids an	d their source	
The state of the s		in or impounded	oomanioa mijuraa dii	a tion goutor.	
iv. Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	acres
ν. Dimensions o	f the proposed dam	or impounding st	ructure:	height; length ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con-	40103
vi. Construction	method/materials f	or the proposed da	am or impounding st	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con-	crete):
		101			,
					
D.2. Project Op	erations				
a. Does the propo	sed action include	any excavation, in	ining, or dredging, o	luring construction, operations, or both?	Yes No
(Not including	general site prepara	ation, grading or i	nstallation of utilities	s or foundations where all excavated	
materials will r	emain onsite)				
If Yes:		%			
i. What is the pu	rpose of the excava	ation or dredging?			
ii. How much ma	terial (including ro	ck, carth, sedimen	ts, etc.) is proposed	to be removed from the site?	
 Volume 	(specify tons or cul	bic yards):			
iii. Describe natu	re and characteristic	es of materials to	be excavated or dred	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
i in with all and the	anaita daugania				
			xcavated materials?		☐Yes ☐No
ir yes, deseri	UG				
y What is the to	tal area to be dredg	and or everyone 40			
v. What is the co	avinum area to La	worked at any a-	c time?	acres	
vi. What would b	sa tha mavimum 4.	worked at any on	or deadain =0	acres	
viii Will the seco	oe me maximum de avation require blas	pur or excavation	or areaging?	feet	
					∐Yes∏No
A. Summarize Sit	e reciamation goals	and plan:			
h Wayld tha	annad action				F-01 - F
u. would the proj	posed action cause	or result in alterat	ion of, increase or de ach or adjacent area	ecrease in size of, or encroachment	Yes No
Into any existi If Yes:	ing wenand, waterb	ody, snoreline, be	ach or adjacent area	f.	
	etland or waterbad	u which would be	officeted (here were	contant and an according to the second	
description	COURTE OF MATCLEOOD	y willou would be	attected (by name,	water index number, wetland map num	per or geographic
description);					
-					

 Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placemen alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in squa 	it of structures, or ire feet or acres:
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	☐ Yes☐No
iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes☐No
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	<u> </u>
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal: Compared to the comp	
• If Cheinical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	Z Yes □ No
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day; ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	
If Yes:	Z Yes □No
Name of district or service area: City of Beacon	
Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	✓ Yes No
Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No
Is expansion of the district needed? Providing lines course the residue of the second of the s	☐ Yes 🗹 No
Do existing lines serve the project site? Will line extension with the extension of the line of	✓ Yes ☐ No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	☐Yes ☑No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
If, Yes:	☐ Yes ✓No
Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or applications district.	
- Date approacion subtilitied of anticipated,	
• Proposed source(s) of subbly for new district:	
ν If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: gallons/min	ute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	✓ Ycs □No
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: 1,980 gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all approximate volumes or proportions of each): 	components and
Sanitary wastewater.	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	✓ Yes No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used; Beacon Sewage Treatment Plant Name of district: City of Beacon	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	
Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☑ Yes □No □ Yes ☑No

 Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? 	☑Yes ☐ No
Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	☐Yes ☑No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project.	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☑ No
A suffered to the first to	
Determine the Control of the Land	
Date application submitted or anticipated: What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
w. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spe	-16./m/
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	enying proposed
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? If Yes:	∐Yes☑No
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface) Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
in some to types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	properties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	
lv. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	☐ Yes☐ No ☐ Yes☐ No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	☐Yes ☑No
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? If Yes:	□Yes ☑No
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	—
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	□Yes□No
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N2O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes:	□Yes☑No
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):	
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to ge	enerate heat ar
electricity, flaring):	anciate fical of
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as	☐Yes ☑ No
quarry or landfill operations?	
If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):	
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial	□V□N1-
new demand for transportation facilities or services?	☐Yes ☑ No
If Yes:	
i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): \(\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	
If For commercial activities only projected number of somi-brailer truck trinc/day.	
iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease	
iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?	
v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing a	∐ Y es∐ No
in the proposed action includes any modification of existing toads, creation of new roads of change in existing to	access, describe:
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within 1/2 mile of the proposed site?	
wii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	□Yes□No
or other alternative fixeled vehicles?	∐Yes∏No
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing	mvms
pedestrian or bicycle routes?	□Yes□No
pedestran of dieyele routes:	
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand	☐Yes☐No
for energy?	
If Yes:	
i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:	
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/	ocal utility or
other):	ivali antity, or
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation?	☐Yes ☐ No
I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply,	
i. During Construction: ii. During Operations:	
Sunday: Sunday:	
Holidays: Holidays:	

 m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? If yes: 	☑ Yes ☐ No
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: Construction Vehicles at work between the hours of 7am and 5pm	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe:	□ Yes ☑ No
n Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	☑ Yes ☐ No
If yes:	
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/alm, and proximity to nearest occupied structure. <u>Building mounted lights and pole mounted lights for parking lot all shielded and pointed downward. Proposed building moulight poles are within 50 feet to nearest occupied structures.</u>	res; unted lights and parking lot
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe:	☐ Yes ☑ No
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	P
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to near occupied structures:	☐ Yes ☑ No rest
 p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored 	∐Ycs Z No
i. Product(s) to be stored ii. Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
iii. Generally describe proposed storage facilities:	
 q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicide insecticides) during construction or operation? If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s): 	cs, Yes No
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or dispersion.	☐ Yes ☐No
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes:	osal Yes No
i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
Operation: tons per (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid Construction:	waste:
Operation:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
Construction:	
Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? Yes No If Yes:									
i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or									
Other disposal activities);									
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:									
Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or									
•Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	treatment								
* Will represent eating of the circles in the circl	years								
waste?	t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous Yes No waste?								
If Yes:									
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to b	e generated, handled or mana	ged at facility:							
	 								
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving	hazardaya waataa ay ay atitu								
	nazardous wastes of constitut	:nts:							
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated	tons/month								
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, re	cycling or reuse of hazardous	constituents:							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
ν. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existin	g offsite hazardous waste fac	ility?	Yes No						
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:									
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous									
	wastes milich mili not de Sell	to a nazardous waste facilit	y;						
TE CU. 10 CT									
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action									
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site									
a. Existing land uses.									
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	project site.								
🔽 Urban 🔲 Industrial 🔯 Commercial 🔯 Resi	dential (suburhan) Rura	ıl (110n-farm)							
☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	r (specify): Mixed Uses & Form	er Beacon High School							
a. If this of tises, generally describe.									
		10.37 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.									
Land use or	I								
Covertype	Current	Acreage After	Change						
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)						
surfaces	0.640	*0.468	-0.172						
Forested									
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-									
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)									
Agricultural									
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)									
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	Surface water features (lakes ponds streams sives at a)								
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)									
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)									
Other Describes Country to the second									
Describe: Grass/Landscaped Areas	.056	0.228	+0.172						

^{*0.222} acres of the total 0.468 ac. will be Permeable Pavers.

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes; explain:	□Yes☑N₀
 d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: City of Beacon High School athletic fields 	☑Yes No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	Yes No
Dam height:	
Dam length: feet	
Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded:gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	-
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facil If Yes:	☐Yes Z No lity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	□ 103□ 110
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
To some statement of the bond waste management racinty.	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	☐ Yes Z No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurre	ed:
	1875(2)(1)
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes:	Yes No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes - Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
The second distribution of the second distributi	
☐ Pacifier database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): B00130 , C314118	☑ Yes ☐ No
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	1930
B00130 remedial actions completed in August 2004, C314118 remedial actions completed on 4/10/2017	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional contro	l limiting property uses?	Yes No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g.) Describe any use limitations: 	g., deed restriction or easement):	
Describe any use initiations: Describe any engineering controls:		
 Will the project affect the institutional or en 	gineering controls in place?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain:		
2. 2		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project	site? > 6.7 feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes ZNo
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bec	Irock outeroppings?%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	Knickerbocker-Urban land complex 66.4 %	
	Dutchess-Cardigan-Urban land com-	
		5.1
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the	project site? Average: > 6.7 feet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Draine		
✓ Moderately	Well Drained: 33.6 % of site	İ
Poorly Drain		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site wit		
	☐ 10-15%: % of site ☐ 15% or greater: 03 % of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the proje		
If Yes, describe:	et site?	□Yes☑No
h. Surface water features.		
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetland	ds or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers,	□Yes☑No
ponds or lakes)?		
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the parties of the ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	roject site?	☐Yes ☑No
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or a	edicining the project site were letted by any 5-1-1	
state or local agency?		□Yes ☑No
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbo	dy on the project site, provide the following information:	
Streams: Name	Classification	
Lakes or Ponds: Name Wetlands: Name	Classification Approximate Size	
 Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) 		
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the mos	st recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired	Yes No
waterbodies? If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and busing	for listing as impaired:	
	tor using as impaired:	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		DVec Date
j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain?		☐Yes Ø No
		☐Yes Z No
k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain?		□Yes ☑No
 Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoint Yes: 	ning, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer?	☐Yes ☑No
i. Name of aquifer:		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species	s that occupy or use the project site:		
Raccoon	Grey Squirrel	White Tail Deer	
n. Does the project site contain a designated If Yes:	significant natural community?		☐ Yes ☑No
i Describe the babitat/community (compo	sition function and basis for during the		
i. Describe the habitat/community (compos	smon, nunction, and basis for designation):	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:	7/-		
iii. Extent of community/habitat:			
Currently:		Reres	
 Following completion of project as 		icres	
 Gain or loss (indicate + or -): 		eres	
o. Does project site contain any species of pl		21212	
endangered or threatened, or does it contain	ant of animal that is listed by the rederal	government or NYS as	✓ Yes No
ondangered of infolioned, of does it contain	if any areas identified as nation for an en	idangered or threatened speci	es?
Indiana Bat			
p. Does the project site contain any species	of plant or animal that is listed by NVS a	a rare ar as a apasine of	
special concern?	or humit or ammer mer to figred on 1410 a	s rare, or as a species of	☐Yes ZNo
q. Is the project site of adjoining area current	lunged for hunting transing fiching or a	Latt Galdage	Contract Con
If yes, give a brief description of how the pro	boosed action may affect that use:	snett tisningt	□Yes ☑ No
	Proper		
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or N			
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, loca	ited in a designated agricultural district co	ertified pursuant to	□Yes ZNo
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-	AA, Section 303 and 304?	•	□ 1 c2 N 140
If Yes, provide county plus district name/nu	mber:		
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly			
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?	productive soils present?		Yes. √ No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):			
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, Natural Landmark?	or is it substantially contiguous to, a reg	gistered National	☐Yes ZNo
If Yes:			
l. Nature of the natural landmark:	Richard Community	aniani Pastura	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, in	cluding values behind designation and a	ogical reature	
	vices of the designation and a	hbroximare grzevegreur:	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjo	in a state listed Critical Environmental A	rea?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes: i. CEA name:			
ii Basis for designation:			
ii. Basis for designation: iii. Designating agency and date:			
			-

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District ii. Name: iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	☐ Yes ☑ No
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? *The CRIS system confirms the property is not adjacent to a sensitive archaeological site(s).	☑ Yes ☑ No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:	□Yes ☑No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource: Scenic Hudson's Long Dock Park; Mount Beacon	☑ Yes ☐No
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail of etc.): State or Local Park iii. Distance between project and resource: 1.5 miles.	r scenie byway,
 Is the project site located within a designated river conidor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: 	∐ Yes ☑ No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	∐Yes∏No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	mpacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name PIE Development Company, Inc. Date 8/28/2018 Title Pres/deat	

45954-23-992839-0000	he EAF Mapper provides the most up to date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order n obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.
6054-21-045@33-0900 6054-21-0028&4-0000 6054-21-0028&4-0000 5054-21-0028&4-0000 5054-21-0028&4-0000 6054-21-045@33-0900 5054-21-0028&4-0000 6054-21-045@33-0900 5054-21-0028 6054-21-045@33-0900 6054-21-045@33-0	Otlawa Montreal Toronto
6054-29-01 685 8-0000 6054-29-041 858-0000 605 4-29-06285 6-0000 954-36-977854-0006054-29-013854-0009 Sources Est INTERE Garmin, USGS 6054-29-009846-000054-29-03/INEMAR / INCREMENTS NEGS of Estimous Japan, MEST/ Est Oning (Hong Korg), Est of Korga, Est (Thelland) 1-0000 (Hong Korg), Est of Korga, Est (Thelland) 1-0000 (Hong Korg), Est of Ching (Hong) (Hong), Est of Ching (Hong), Es	Columbus Pittsburgh Columbus Pittsburgh Wasselfittsburgh Pittsburgh Pittsburgh Wasselfittsburgh Pittsburgh Wasselfittsburgh Pittsburgh Wasselfittsburgh Pittsburgh Wasselfittsburgh Wasselfitt

B.i.i (Coastal or Waterfront Area)	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.l [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.l [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.lil [Within 2,000 of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.lil [WithIn 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	B00130 , C314118
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.lli (Surface Water Features)	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No No
E.2.l. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes

E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Indiana Bat	
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No	€.
E.3.a. (Agricultural District)	No	10
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No	
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No	*
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete Workbook,	e. Refer to EAF
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes	
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No	

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project: 53 Eliza Street

Date: February 20, 2019

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- · Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency
 checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general
 question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□no	Ø	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	Ø	
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	Die	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	Ø	
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli	Ø	
h. Other impacts:			

			
2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit	it		
access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g	О	D
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		۰
c. Other impacts:		О	ם
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	⊠ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
5	Q 20011011(0)	may occur	occur -
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	П	п
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	0	ם
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	٥	0
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	а	0
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	0	0
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	U	0
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	0	
The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	D	0
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	0	0
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	Dla, D2d	D	0

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1. 0	Other impacts:		а	0
4.	Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	☑NO er.		YES
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	0	D
	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c	۵	0
	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	П	а
d.	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21		0
	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	0	۵
	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	0	0
g.	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		0
h.	Other impacts:			0
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	☑ NO		YES
	1) 166 , and red questions at 2, 1, 110 , more on to escendit of	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		0
b.	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	а	0
Ç.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	0	a
,	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		0
e.	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	0	0
	If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair,	Ele	а	0

	· · ·		
g. Other impacts:		О	9
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a -f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	Йио		YES
ag 200 y anno 100 y ag 100 y	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0000	0 0 0 0
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	D	0
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	ם	ם
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	0	ם
f. Other impacts:		Ω	ם
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	ıq.)	∑ NO	□YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	П	٥
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E20	0	٥
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	0	0
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	п	0

Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E30	П	U
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n	0	D
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	D	0
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	Elb	0	
 Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. 	D2q		
j. Other impacts:		۵	0
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources			
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	nd b.)	NO	YES
1 0	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

h. Other impacts:

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Van" appropriate the proposed of the land of the l	N		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		0
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	0	0
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	0	0
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed	E3h		
action is:	E2q,		
Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	Elc	םם	0
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	DIa, EIa, DIf, DIg		
g. Other impacts:		а	0
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e, If "No", go to Section 11.	NO	D [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	ם	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	0	0
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g	٥	0

d. Other impacts:		а	D	
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:				
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		o	
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	0		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	п	٥	
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p			
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	0	٥	
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	0	П	
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	0	ם	
e. Other impacts:		0	_	
		1		
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		ם	
 b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. 	E3d	0	0	
c. Other impacts:		D	מ	

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. 🚺 N	0 🗌	YES	
y con , man en que de la constant de	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j			
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	_		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	0	0	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		0	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	0		
f. Other impacts:		п	0	
I many to the second se		l	1	
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.				
	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate to large	
	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may	
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.		impact	impact may	
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a	Question(s) D2k D1f,	impact may occur	impact may occur	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square 	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1, D.2.m., n., and o.) 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g	impact may occur	impact may occur	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g	impact may occur	yES	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1, D.2.m., n., and o.) 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g	impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1, D.2.m., n., and o.) 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g Ating. NO	impact may occur	YES Moderate to large	
 b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1, D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local 	Question(s) D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g ting. NC Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur	

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	⊠		
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	Ø		
f. Other impacts:				
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	Eld	0	۵	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh	0	o	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh	ū	D	
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		0	
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh	а	а	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	0		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	0	D	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	0	0	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	0	0	
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh	o o	Ð	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg	0	D	
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	o	0	
m. Other impacts:			£4	

17. Consistency with Community Plans	******		
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1, C.1, C.2, and C.3.)	NO		/ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	0	8
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	0	a
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	0	0
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		О
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	0	0
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	0	D D
h. Other:		0	0
n. Oner,			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Mо		/ES
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part I. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

Agency Use Only [IfApplicable]

Project : 53 Eliza Street Date:

February 20, 2019

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

See attached.						
	Determination of S	Significance -	Type 1 and Un	listed Actions		
SEQR Status:	Type 1	✓ Unlisted				
Identify portions of EAF	completed for this Project:	Part 1	✓ Part 2	✓ Part 3		
					EEAE 2010	

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information Site Plan, Existing Conditions, Survey, Building Plan, letter from the Applicant dated January 7, 2019, letter from the Applicant dated December 14, 2018, letter from the Applicant dated November 28, 2018, letter from the Applicant dated October 30, 2018, letter from the Applicant dated September 28, 2018, Traffic Evaluation by Maser Consulting P.A. dated September 27, 2018, letter from the Applicant dated August 28, 2018, and photographs.
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the <u>City of Beacon Zoning Board of Appeals</u> as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: Use and area variance application to permit a nine-unit multi-family development on 53 Eliza Street.
Name of Lead Agency: City of Beacon Zoning Board of Appeals.
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Robert K. Lanler
Title of Responsible Officer: Chairman
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Pokat K Laros Date: 2/25/19
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) July Hamilton bolded of the Chy Date: February 20, 2051
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Etha Grogan, Secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals
Address: 1 Municipal Plaza, Beacon, NY 12508
Telephone Number: 845-838-5002
E-mail: Egrogan@cityofbeacon.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

ATTACHMENT TO NEGATIVE DECLARATION REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

APPLICATION FOR USE AND AREA VARIANCE APPROVAL FOR 53 ELIZA STREET

Parcel No. 6054-29-031870

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon a review of Parts 1 and 2 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and all other application materials that were submitted in support of the Proposed Action (Unlisted), along with reports from City staff and consultants, information from involved and interested agencies, and information from the public, the City of Beacon Zoning Board of Appeals, undergoing an uncoordinated review, makes the conclusions set forth below.

Project Description:

The Proposed Action is to allow a multi-family development on 0.69 acres consisting of nine multifamily dwelling units, which will be distributed into three buildings, on property located at 53 Eliza Street in the R1-5 Zoning District (the "Property"). The Proposed Action includes a request for a use variance from City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:2 to permit a multifamily residence in the R1-5 Zoning District where such development is not a permitted use, and an area variance to construct up to nine dwelling units, where the maximum number of dwelling units permitted on the Property is six pursuant to the City Code § 223-17.C/223 Attachment 1:2.

The Proposed Action is an Unlisted action. The Zoning Board of Appeals opened a public hearing to consider comments on the application on September 18, 2018. The public hearing was closed on January 15, 2019.

Summary of Rationale for Negative Declaration

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impacts on the environment. In summary:

• Impact on Land: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of any physical change to the project site.

The Property is located in the R1-5 Zoning District and is currently improved with non-conforming commercial buildings. The Property is already fully developed. The Proposed Action will involve the demolition of certain existing commercial buildings and construction of up to nine multifamily residential dwelling units with typical

grading and site work associated with such construction. There are no wetlands, water bodies, steep slopes or other environmentally sensitive areas on the Property. The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of physical changes to the Property.

• Impact on Geological Features: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on any unique or unusual land forms on the site.

There are no unique geological features on the Property.

Impacts on Surface Water and Groundwater: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

Residential land uses are generally not associated with the discharge of contaminants into aquifers or other groundwater sources. There will be no bulk storage of petroleum or chemicals on-site. The Project does not include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. The Project will be connected to the existing public water distribution and sanitary sewer systems. Water usage and liquid waste generation is anticipated to be an additional 1,980 gallons per day above the existing condition. Adequate water supply and sewer capacity exist for these additional flows.

The Proposed Action will not result in any significant adverse impact to surface or groundwater quality or quantity.

 Impact on Flooding: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on or alter drainage flows or patterns, or surface water runoff.

The Proposed Action will disturb less than one acre and will not create any net additional stormwater runoff. Therefore, the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on or alter drainage flows or patterns, or on surface water runoff.

• Impact on Air: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on air quality.

Construction activities associated with grading and excavation could result in temporary air quality impacts. Air quality in the area, however, is not expected to be significantly impacted by project construction because the construction activities will be temporary and confined to the Property. Construction vehicles will emit certain air pollutants through engine exhaust. There is also the potential for fugitive dust to be created during the construction period from site preparation activities, including removal of existing impervious surfaces and vegetation, and site grading. These unavoidable short term

impacts to air quality will cease upon project completion. Construction will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local codes.

• Impact on Plants and Animals: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on flora or fauna.

0.056 acres of the Property is currently grass/landscaped areas. After the project is completed 0.228 acres will be grass/landscaped areas. This is an increase of 0.172 acres. The predominate wildlife species that occupy or use the project site include raccoons, grey squirrels and white tail deer. The project site does not contain a designated significant natural community. Although the Full EAF indicates that the Property may contain or serve as habitat for the endangered Indiana Bat, there are no trees on the Property that would serve as suitable habitat for the Indiana Bat.

• Impact on Agricultural Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on agricultural resources.

There are no agricultural resources in the vicinity of the Property.

• Impact on Aesthetic Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on aesthetic resources.

The Proposed Action will not result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views, or visible from any publicly accessible vantage points either seasonally nor year around.

• Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on historic or archeological resources.

Developing the property into a multifamily development with up to nine dwelling units in an existing residential neighborhood will not have a significant adverse impact on historic or archeological resources because there are no historic resources in the immediate vicinity of the Site.

• Impact on Open Space and Recreation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on open space and recreation.

The area of the Proposed Action is not designated as open space by the City of Beacon. The Proposed Action will not result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource, eliminate significant open space, or result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.

Impact on Critical Environmental Areas: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on Critical Environmental Areas.

The Proposed Action is not located in a Critical Environmental Area.

• Impact on Transportation: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on transportation.

The construction of nine multifamily dwelling units will not result in a significant adverse traffic impact. The Applicant submitted a traffic evaluation study prepared by Maser Consulting P.A., dated September 27, 2018 to review the traffic impacts associated with the proposed multifamily development. It is anticipated that the multifamily development will generate similar traffic during peak hours as the existing commercial use. The Site will be accessed via a driveway connection to Eliza Street offset approximately 30 feet to the south of Oak Street. The Proposed Action will eliminate the two (2) existing driveways located on each side of the existing building fronting on Eliza Street and consolidate the driveways into one (1) central two-way access driveway for better circulation into and out of the Site.

Maser Consulting conducted turning movement traffic counts at the intersection of Eliza Street and Oak Street on Tuesday September 25, 2018 during the AM and PM Peak Periods. In order to assess the potential traffic impacts of the Proposed Development, estimates of the anticipated Site Generated Traffic Volumes were made based on information provided by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) as contained in their publication Trip Generation, 10th Edition dated 2017 based on ITE Land Use Code 220 – Multi-Family Low Rise Residential. In addition, for comparison purposes, estimates of the potential traffic generation of the as of-right use for the property, i.e. three (3) single family residential dwellings, were also computed based on ITE Land Use Code – 210 – Single Family Housing. According to the Maser Consulting traffic report, the proposed nine (9) unit multi-family development and the as-of-right three-unit single family homes would have similar traffic generation during each of the Peak Hours. Maser Consulting concluded that "the Proposed Development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on traffic operating conditions in the vicinity of the Site. Furthermore, as previously indicated, the proposed nine (9) unit multi-family development and the as-of-right three-unit single family homes would have similar traffic generation during each of the Peak Hours analyzed."

• Impact on Energy: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on energy.

The existing energy infrastructure will adequately serve the additional demand. The Proposed Action does not require a new substation, or an upgrade to any existing substation.

• Impact on Noise, Odor and Light: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact as a result of objectionable odors, noise or light.

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to generate any noxious odors. Outdoor lighting will be consistent with typical residential lighting and will include building mounted lights and pole mounted lights for the parking lot. All lighting shall be shielded and pointed downward. Noise impacts associated with the proposed Project will be limited to temporary impacts generated during construction. Temporary noise impacts associated with construction will be mitigated by limiting construction activities to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m Monday-Friday, and 8 a.m. — 5 p.m on Saturday. It is not anticipated that blasting will be necessary during the proposed construction. If blasting does become necessary, it will be performed in accordance with all applicable state and local requirements. In addition, there will be no significant noise impacts post-construction.

 Impact on Human Health: The Proposed Action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants.

Residential land uses are generally not associated with significant adverse impacts on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. The site is currently used for office/warehouse space, however there has never been any hazardous waste generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site and no spills have been reported at the site. There is no existing contamination on the site.

 Consistency with Community Plans and Community Character: The Proposed Action is not inconsistent with adopted community plans and community character.

The Proposed Action is generally consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and City Zoning Code.

Based upon this information and the information in the Full Environmental Assessment Form, the Zoning Board of Appeals finds that the Proposed Action will not have any significant adverse impacts upon the environment.

Adopted: February 20, 2019 Beacon, New York